



AN INITIATIVE OF GEBERT RÜF STIFTUNG IN COOPERATION WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF FRIROLING

EASTERN EUROPEAN DAYS

INFORMAL PRACTICES AND STRUCTURES IN EASTERN EUROPE AND CENTRAL ASIA

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE: 21-23 NOVEMBER 2013, UNIVERSITY OF FRIBOURG









CONTENT

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS		3	PANEL 8 Informality in Education	38
			8.1 Rubén Ruiz Ramas, National Distance Education University, Madrid	
KEYNOTES		7	8.2 Alba Jasini, University of Leuven	39
ODENING CREECI		_	8.3 Astghik Mavisakalyan, Curtin University, Australia	39
OPENING SPEECH		7	8.4 Christopher Whitsel, North Dakota State University	40
	a Shevtsova, Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, Moscow	7	8.5 Ilona Wysmukek, Polish Academy of Science	40
Pers	sonalized Power in Russia: The Logic of Surge and Decline	7	DANEL O Informal Society Nationals Civil Society Societ Conital Society	
VEVNO	TE CREECIEC	9	PANEL 9 Informal Society: Networks, Civil Society, Social Capital, Social	4.1
	OTE SPEECHES	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	41
Hans-Joachim Lauth, University of Würzburg		0.0	9.1 kukasz Fajfer, Erfurt University	41
Change of Systems as Double Transformation: Formal and Informal Rule		25 7	9.2 Philipp Karl, Andrássy University Budapest 9.3 Viktoria Akchurina, University of Trento	42
Alena Ledeneva, UCL School of Slavonic and East European Studies			9.4 Nenad Markovikj, University "Ss. Cyril and Methodius", Skopje	42
	ping the Borders of Informality: Social Networks – Economies of ours – Corrupt Practices	9	7.4 Neriau Markovikj, offiversity 35. Cyfit affu Methodius , 3kopje	46
ravu	odis - con upt riactices	7	PANEL 10 Informality as Getting Things Done II: Practices,	
BIUCD	APHIES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43
Speakers and Discussants		10	10.1 Zinaida Vasilyeva, University of Neuchâtel	43
opeak	oro and biodessants	-0	10.2 Leyla Sayfutdinova, Middle East Technical University, Ankara	44
			10.3 Raano Turaeva-Hoehne, Max Planck Institute for Social	
			Anthropology	44
ABST	RACTS	18	10.4 Alina Poghosyan, Institute of Archeology and Ethnography,	
PANFI	_ 1 Informality Minorities, Conflicts	18	Yerevan	45
	Chen Bram, University of Florida	18	10.5 Nafisa Hasanova, Université Libre de Bruxelles	45
	Andrea Friedli, University of Fribourg	18	10.0 Harroa Hadariota, dimendro Elbro de Braxello	
	Olivier Ferrando, Sciences Po Paris	19	PANEL 11 Informality in the Post-Soviet Space: Neopatrimonialism,	
	Andreea Carstocea, European Centre for Minority Issues	19		46
		20	11.1 Rico Isaacs, Oxford Brookes University	46
	,,		11.2 Aksana Ismailbekova, Competence Network Crossroads Asia	46
PANEL	2 Informality and Political Institutions I: Elections and		11.3 Anna Cieslewska, University of Warsaw	47
	cal Parties	20	11.4 Assel Rustemova, Gediz Üniversitesi	48
2.1	Anton Verevkin, Saint-Petersburg State University	20	11.5 Yulia Poskakukhina, University of Amsterdam	48
	Emir Kulov, University of Kent	21	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Iryna Solonenko, European University Viadrina, Frankfurt/Oder	21	PANEL 12 Informality and Forms of Regulation II: Property Rights,	
	Milena Baghdasaryan, Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology			49
	Asel Doolotkeldieva, University of Exeter	22	12.1 Alisher Shabdolov, University of Bern	49
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		12.2 Barbara Audycka, University of Warsaw	49
PANEL	_ 3 Informal Economy	23	12.3 Marko Kmezic, Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz	50
	Tanya Chavdarova, Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski	23	12.4 Mahabat Sadyrbek, Free University of Berlin	50
	Ainur Begim, Yale University	23	12.5 Luana Martin, European University Viadrina, Frankfurt/Oder	51
	Saša Randjelovic, University of Belgrade	24		
	Kosovka Ognjenovic, University of Belgrade	24	PANEL 13 Informality and Political Institutions III: Governance and State	
	Roman Vakulchuk, Jacobs University Bremen	25		52
			13.1 Elvin Gjevori, Dublin City University	52
PANEL	4 Informality as Getting Things Done I: Practices,		13.2 Dragana Stokanic and Dragan Stanojevic, University of Belgrade	52
Ownership and Markets		26	13.3 Ana Kirvalidze, Ilia State University	53
4.1	Jovana Dikovic, University of Zurich	26	13.4 Christian Timm, Humboldt University Berlin	54
4.2	Lela Rekhviashvili, Central European University	26	13.5 Anastasiya Shtaltovna, Centre for Development Research, ZEF, Bonn	154
4.3	Olga Lipkina, University of Eastern Finland	27		
4.4	Maria Sakaeva, Higher School of Economics in Moscow	27	PANEL 14 Informality in Healthcare Systems	55
4.5	Lora Koycheva, University College London	28	14.1 Maryna Bazylevych, Luther College, IA, USA	55
			14.2 Carna Brkovic, Central European University	55
PANEL	5 Informality and Corruption, Networks of Corruption	29	14.3 Marius Wamsiedel, The University of Hong Kong	56
5.1	David Jancsics, The City University of New York	29	14.4 Baktygul Tulebaeva, Eberhard Karls University of Tübingen	56
5.2	Marlene Spoerri, Carnegie Council for Ethics in International Affairs	30	14.5 Ekaterina Borozdina, European University at St. Petersburg	57
5.3	Ziya Aliyev, Erasmus University Rotterdam	30		
5.4	Elena Denisova-Schmidt, University of St.Gallen (HSG)	31	PANEL 15 Informality and Culture, Culture of Informality, Cultural	
5.5	Rustamjon Urinboyev, Lund University, Sweden	31	Heritage etc.	58
			15.1 Nicolas Gosset, Université Libre de Bruxelles	58
PANEL	_ 6 Informality and Forms of Regulation I: Legal Pluralism,		15.2 Costanza Curro', University College London	58
Inform	nal Justice	32	15.3 Maria Miruna Radan Gorska, University of Kent	59
6.1	Fereniki Vatavali, National Technical University of Athens	32	15.4 Veronika Pasynkova, Russian Presidential Academy of	
6.2	Edvin Zhllima, University of Tirana	32	National Economy and Public Administration	60
6.3	Evelyn Moser, University of Lucerne	33	15.5 Caterina Preda, University of Bucharest	60
6.4	Gabriel McGuire, Nazarbayev University	34		
6.5	Tetyana Malyarenko, Donetsk State University of Management	34	PANEL 16 Informality as getting things done III: Survival Practices,	
			Entrepreneurs, Criminals	61
PANEL	. 7 Informality and Political Institutions II: Political Elites		16.1 Maria Proestou, Humboldt University Berlin	61
and El	ite Networks	35	16.2 Cerasela Voiculescu, University of Edinburgh	61
7.1	Eli Feimann, University of Michigan	35	16.3 Mihai Varga, Freie Universität Berlin	62
7.2	Mikheil Svanidze, Ilia State University	36	16.4 Katarzyna Andrejuk, Polish Academy of Sciences	62
7.3	Carter Johnson, Higher School of Economics in Moscow	36	16.5 Maciej Gurtowski, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Poland	63
7.4	Hamazasp Danielyan, Yerevan State University	37		
7.5	Görkem Atsungur, American University of Central Asia	37	ABOUT ASCN / RRPP	64

PANEL 13

INFORMALITY AND POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS III: GOVERNANCE AND STATE ADMINISTRATION

13.1 Elvin Gjevori, Dublin City University Institutionalisation and Informal Practices in Unconsolidated Democracies

Researchers have long been interested in the informal practices of unconsolidated postcommunist democracies in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. The research has mostly focused on the negative effects of these practices and the failure of domestic institutions and international donors to eradicate them. Such focus, while illuminating the manifestations and effects of informal practices, misses the root causes that make them persistent. I argue that the strength and longevity of informal practices are inversely related to the institutionalisation of institutions in unconsolidated democracies. Where substantial institutionalisation is present informal practices are largely absent. Therefore, to understand the structural conditions that enable informal practices, researchers have to study institutionalisation as the variable that most affects informality. Such perspective transforms the research question into: how can countries institutionalise their institutions and decrease informality in their polity?

Unfortunately such perspective is absent from current studies. To address this limitation I propose a pre-institutionalisation approach – with collective memory as a causal mechanism – as an explanatory account for institutionalisation and informality in unconsolidated, post-communist democracies. To substantiate this argument, I explore the case of military and judicial reform in Albania from 1992 – 2009. I argue that the combination of the memory of the autocratic past and main transition crises created the frameworks through which Albanian elites enabled further institutionalisation and less informality in the military than the judiciary. This proposition is tested through content and discourse analysis of parliamentary debates on military and judicial reform. The findings show that for political elites to support meaningful institutionalisation which decreases informality, they have to interpret transition crises as institutional deficiencies which threaten simultaneously the national and their political interests. This paper aims to establish a dialogue between institutionalist, democratisation and transition studies.

13.2 Dragana Stokanic and Dragan Stanojević, University of Belgrade Informal Connections in Political and Economic Spheres in Serbia

The aim of this paper is to contribute to the debate on the importance of informal connections between the politics and the economy in Serbia after the year 2000. The main idea which leads us is that there are obvious and numerous irregularities in individuals' behaviors toward legally established rules and that unwritten rules and practices exist in the political field. Those informal prescriptions of actions are dictated by functional requirements of social system in which economic and political players act as rational actors in a specific context of the post socialist state.

Furthermore, we will use qualitative approach in order to capture and explain individual practices and institutions which political and economic officials create. Particularly, we will conduct the in-depth interviews with middle-range politicians on the executive positions in the highest state institutions. The analysis will be based on interviews held from April to June 2013 and it will present two integrated levels of interactions between politics and the economy. Firstly, we will analyze the relations between the public institutions and the private capital. Especially, we will show how politicians use their positions in the public institutions to gain particular interest for their political parties. Secondly, we will investigate the process of monopolization of the positions in the public sector by the political parties which currently hold public resources.

On the first level, it is shown that political parties are significantly financially dependent on the economic capital. These interactions are informal in a way that politicians gain financial support in order to provide privileged positions for their partners. On the other level, we consider the reality that political parties became the important channel for social mobility. Thus, it is crucial to understand the dynamics and functional conditionings and limitations between different structures in the political party. Taking into consideration that political parties depend greatly on loyalty of their members, it gives the possibility for middle and lower echelons to make a pressure on party leadership to infiltrate party members in the public institutions.

13.3 Ana Kirvalidze, Ilia State University Informal Practices in the Policing System after the 2012 Governmental Changes

The institutional changes characteristic of the post-Soviet period acquire particular significance when analyzing the democratic development of countries in a transitional period. In this context, issues of formal and informal institutions move to the foreground. In this respect, the rapid institutional changes that took place in Georgia made 2003 a turning point. The 'Rose Revolution' marked the beginning of the implementation of a range of political and institutional reforms in the state. Today the institutional changes implemented in the policing sphere are cited as one of the successful reforms of the state, and are the topic of my research from the perspective of studying informality.

It is noteworthy that the formation of the institution in question dated from the Soviet period and, like other state institutions, it stood out for its high level of corruption, criminal offences, violent crime, and control of traffic movement. This institution operated in society basically as a control, and essentially enforced USSR criminal law. The basic characteristics of the pre-reform policing institution were its operating informally outside formal boundaries, and informal interpersonal networks which gave individuals the means to coordinate with formal organizations via alternative paths. Its informal network was very robust, one in which all players co-operated with one other. As a result of these reforms, the institutional changes that were implemented reduced the informal practices in question to almost zero, as is evidenced by the findings of numerous studies, both local and international.

Raising issues connected with informal practices in the institution in question, both in public debates and on the part of various non-governmental organizations, began in October 2012 in parallel with the implementation of governmental changes. In the police, the issue of informal practices was becoming an urgent issue in the Georgian Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA) as a result of changes to the implemented legislation, which were connected to the introduction of laws regulating certain personnel issues. The new legislative acts in question created the possibility of appointing or promoting people to important posts without attending professional education programmes and retraining, which itself gave rise to suspicions of the institutionalization of a new informal network. All this accompanied a rise in the number of cases of summary dismissal of employees of the MIA and the police.

The aim of this research is to identify and study informal practices that originated in the policing institution in the aftermath of the 2012 governmental changes. More specifically, it looks at what type of informal practices we can come across in the appointment or dismissal of police employees. To this end, cases of informal practices are identified and studied. This research is empirical in its nature, and implies finding primary data concerning the issues in question from actors directly involved in the policing institution, including people dismissed from their posts. With the aim of increasing the objectivity of information in this qualitative research, 'mediators'

ABOUT ASCN

ASCN is a programme aimed at promoting the social sciences and humanities in the South Caucasus (primarily Georgia and Armenia). Its different activities foster the emergence of a new generation of talented scholars. Promising junior researchers receive support through research projects, capacity-building trainings and scholarships. The programme emphasizes the advancement of individuals who, thanks to their ASCN experience, become better integrated in international academic networks. The ASCN programme is coordinated and operated by the Interfaculty Institute for Central and Eastern Europe (IICEE) at the University of Fribourg (Switzerland). It is initiated and supported by Gebert Rüf Stiftung.

www.ascn.ch, info@ascn.ch

ABOUT RRPP

The Regional Research Promotion Programme is aimed at fostering and promoting social science research in the Western Balkans (Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Macedonia, Montenegro and Serbia). Researchers receive support through research grants, methodological and thematic trainings, policy dialogue projects as well as opportunities for regional and international exchange and networking. The programme is fully funded by the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), Federal Department of Foreign Affairs.

www.rrpp-westernbalkans.net, office@rrpp-westernbalkans.net

