





# **PROBLEMS** AND PERSPECTIVES **OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION**



**EDITORS** 

**NIKOLETA GUTVAJN**  **JELENA** 

**VERA** STANIŠIĆ RADOVIĆ









# Series "PEDAGOGICAL THEORY AND PRACTICE" 52



#### PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION

#### Publisher

Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia

#### Co-publishers

Faculty of Philology, Peoples` Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow, Russia

Faculty of Teacher Education, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

For publisher

Nikoleta GUTVAJN

For co-publishers

Viktor BARABASH Danimir MANDIĆ

**Editors** 

Nikoleta GUTVAJN Jelena STANIŠIĆ Vera RADOVIĆ

Proofreader

Esther GRACE HELAJZEN

Technical editor Jelena STANIŠIĆ

Cover design

Branko CVETIĆ

Typeset and printed by Kuća štampe plus www.stampanje.com

ISBN 978-86-7447-157-9

Copies 300

COPYRIGHT © 2021 INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH







# PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION

**Editors** 

Nikoleta GUTVAJN Jelena STANIŠIĆ Vera RADOVIĆ

Belgrade 2021.

## INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH BELGRADE, SERBIA

## FACULTY OF PHILOLOGY, PEOPLES' FRIENDSHIP UNIVERSITY OF RUSSIA (RUDN UNIVERSITY), MOSCOW, RUSSIA

## FACULTY OF TEACHER EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE BELGRADE, SERBIA

#### Reviewers

#### Professor Emeritus Djuradj STAKIC

Department of Human Development and Family Studies, Pennsylvania State University, Philadelphia, USA

#### Professor Marina MIKHAILOVNA MISHINA

Department of Psychology and Pedagogy of Education, Russian State University for the Humanities, Moscow, Russia

#### Professor Teodora STOYTCHEVA STOEVA

Department of Social, Organizational, Clinical and Pedagogical Psychology, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", Sofia, Bulgaria

Note. This book was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (Contract No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200018).

# PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES AND EDUCATION<sup>1</sup>

#### Milica MARUŠIĆ JABLANOVIĆ

Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia

#### Andreas OIKONOMOU

School of Pedagogical and Technological Education, Thessaloniki, Greece

#### INTRODUCTION

On 13-22 October 1975, in Belgrade, Yugoslavia, a significant International Environmental Workshop was held by United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) devoted and resulting in "A Global Framework for Environmental Education" (the Belgrade Charter). The participants were warned that severe environmental consequences of the economic and technological growth would happen, calling for a new way of development that would strive towards a balance between humanity and the environment. The Framework for Environmental Education proposed six objectives: raising awareness of the total environment and its problems; acquiring knowledge concerning environmental issues and problems and the role humans play in them; developing adequate attitudes and values, concern for the environment, and the motivation to act; acquiring skills for solving problems; developing the ability to evaluate existing measures and educational programs; developing a sense of responsibility for solving environmental problems and readiness to participate (UNESCO, 1976). In spite of such educational efforts lasting for decades, humanity is nowadays facing even more severe and widespread environmental problems.

<sup>1</sup> This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (Contract No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200018).

#### THE MAIN GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS

Having in mind numerous global and local environmental problems, the immense importance of developing pro-environmental attitudes and values and especially facilitating appropriate behaviour is obvious. According to Jianping et al. (2014), nowadays there are nine main global environmental issues: Global Warming, referring to the gradual increase of the temperature of Earth's surface and atmosphere, causing the harmful greenhouse effect due to higher emissions of greenhouse gases, with consequences such as "the polar glacier partial thawing, rise of sea level, submergence of some foreland regions, etc."; Ozone Depletion and Destruction "caused by ozone-depleting substances, mainly chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), given that the ozone layer protects lives on Earth from UV injury as a natural "Umbrella"; a sharp decrease of forest cover, referring to the disappearance of areas covered by forest, which leads to severe consequences for the ecosystem, including water loss, erosion, and desertification, which are a certain threat for humanity; **Decline of Biological Diversity**, including "genetic (biological genes) diversity, species diversity, and ecosystem diversity; it is the core component of Earth's life-support system and the material basis of human survival and development"; Acid Rain Pollution, which endangers forest ecosystems, aquatic organisms, the production of food, and even buildings; Land Desertification, referring to deterioration of land caused by climate change and "irrational human economic activities"; Marine Pollution and Damage, referring to the pollution of the marine environment and "damage to marine ecosystem due to over development of marine resources"; Water Pollution and Freshwater Resource Shortage, given that water is the source of life, and one of the indispensable physical resources for the survival and development of human society"; Toxic Chemical Pollution and Cross-Border Transfer of Dangerous Waste, given that "there are thousands of dangerous chemicals on global market, and more than 500 are known to cause cancer, teratogenesis, and mutagenesis" (Jianping et al., 2014: 4-9).

We should add the problem closely related to acid rain, severely endangering people and the natural environment in numerous countries: air pollution, caused mainly by industrial facilities, steelworks, furnaces, burning fossil fuels, and improper burning of waste.

#### THE MEANING OF VALUES AND THE PLACE OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IN DIFFERENT VALUE THEORIES

Values can be defined in at least three ways: having worth or usefulness, or the desirability of something; as an opinion or liking something; or as principles and moral standards (Dietz, Fitzgerald, & Shwom, 2005). The famous Rokeach theory defines values as guiding principles, distinguishing between terminal and instrumental values. Terminal values refer to general goals or outcomes (for example, freedom, equality, a world of peace, a world of beauty, true friendship), while instrumental values refer to means, or ways to achieve goals (for example, being ambitious, imaginative, polite, self-controlled). Values are connected with affect: an individual might feel frustrated or angry when prevented from achieving values he/she rates highly. Values have the power to motivate behaviour (Feather, 1988). Giving more importance to a value implies a greater possibility for action that leads to the attainment of that value (Sagiv & Roccas, 2017). Values are also defined as guiding principles by Schwartz (2017), transcending through numerous actions and situations, and they colour the experiences we are confronted with, since people rely on values as standards (Schwartz, 2012). Therefore, the perception of a situation and the decision about what to do depends largely on each value, concerning different aspects of life, including the environment.

The terminal value in **Rokeach's theory** that mentions nature is the value called A World of Beauty, defined as the beauty of nature and the arts. We can recognize the roots of pro-environmental values in Rokeach's theory. Lack of environmental protection values explicitly stated could be understood in the context of environmental ethics just emerging in the 1970s, opening questions of the justifiability of the prevailing anthropocentric world view.

One of the most influential theories of values, which has been largely used in the research of pro-environmental attitudes and behaviour, according to Schultz and associates (Schultz et al, 2005) is the Schwartz theory of values. In its original form it distinguishes ten basic values (Schwartz, 2012), while in the refined version the author recognizes 19 value types (Schwartz, 2017). In the system of values of an individual, the ten values are placed in a certain order, according to their importance for the individual. The theory explains the mutual relations of values, their mutual conflicts and compatibilities. In the original theory (see Table 1), pro-

environmental values are contained in Universalism, defined as understanding, appreciation, tolerance and protection for the welfare of all people and for nature (broadmindedness, wisdom, social justice, equality, a world at peace, a world of beauty, unity with nature, protecting the environment). Universalism and benevolence belong to the pole of self-transcendence, opposite to the pole of self-enhancement. Self-transcendence emphasizes concern for the welfare of others and surpasses one's own interests. Another dimension recognized by the model is Openness to change vs Conservation, which emphasizes the conflict between independence of thought and action and readiness to change on the one pole, and resistance to changes, on the other (Schwartz, 2012).

**Table 1.** Defining goals for ten basic values, according to Schwartz (2012)

Values	Descriptions				
Power	Social status and prestige, control or dominance over people and resources (social power, authority, wealth, preserving one's public image)				
Achievement	Personal success through demonstrating competence according to social standards (being perceived as successful, capable, ambitious, influential)				
Hedonism	Pleasure and sensuous gratification for oneself (pleasure, enjoying life, self-indulgent)				
Stimulation	Excitement, novelty, and challenge in life (daring, an exciting life)				
Self-direction	Independent thought and action – choosing, creating, exploring (creativity, freedom, independent, curious, choosing own goals)				
Universalism	Understanding, appreciation, tolerance and protection for the welfare of all people and for nature (broadminded, wisdom, social justice, equality, a world at peace, a world of beauty, unity with nature, protecting the environment)				
Benevolence	Preservation and enhancement of the welfare of people with whom one is in frequent personal contact (helpful, honest, forgiving, loyal, responsible, true friend or love)				
Tradition	Respect, commitment and acceptance of the customs and ideas that traditional culture or religion provides (humble, accepting one's portion in life, devout, respect for tradition, moderate)				
Conformity	Restraint of actions, inclinations, and impulses likely to upset or harm others and violate social expectations or norms (politeness, obedient, self-discipline, honouring parents and elders)				
Security	Safety, harmony and stability of society, of relationships, and of self (family security, national security, social order, clean, reciprocation of favours).				

In his refined theory Schwartz (2017) recognizes three subtypes of Universalism – Universalism-Tolerance (acceptance and understanding of those who are different from oneself), Universalism-Concern (commitment to equality, justice and protection for all people) and Universalism-Nature (preservation of the natural environment). The predictive power of the 19 values over behaviour was confirmed in four countries (Lebedeva, Schwartz, Van De Vijver, Plucker, & Bushina, 2019; Schwartz, 2017; Schwartz & Butenko, 2014; Schwartz et al., 2016). This confirmation underlines the importance of studying pro-environmental values and facilitating them through upbringing and education.

Values exist as individual and cultural phenomena, and their conceptual basis, dimensions, and correlates differ (Schwartz, 2011). Learning about cultural value orientations can help us understand the context within which an individual lives and its influence on the behaviour of society members. Among the most influential cultural value theories are: Theory of Cultural Value Orientations (Schwartz, 2009), Cultural Dimensions Theory (Hofstede, 1980), Cultural Map of Values (World Values Survey, 2000) and Revised Theory of Modernization (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005). For the purpose of this article, we will briefly present the cultural values dimensions by Inglehart and Welzel (2005), who identified two dimensions of cultural values: Traditional values versus Secular-rational values, and Survival values versus Self-expression values.

Environmental protection is present among the Self-expression values, which also include higher tolerance of foreigners and homosexuals, and a commitment to the higher participation of all citizens. The opposed pole, called Survival values includes an ethnocentric attitude, lower tolerance of different people, and a focus on security. On the graph presenting the results of a world value survey for the period 2010-2014, African-Islamic countries (for example Tunisia, Azerbaijan, Yemen, Iraq) and countries in the Eastern Orthodox Christian cultural region (for example Bulgaria, Romania, Russia, Serbia) obtain the highest scores in terms of Survival values, while the Protestant Europe and English-speaking cultural region achieve the highest scores for Self-expression values (with Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Canada and Iceland leading). Traditional values refer to the importance of religion, traditional family values, obeying authority, while Secular-rational values are present in societies giving less importance to such values, while the theory emphasizes the influence of the philosophical, political, and religious ideas that dominate in the culture.

Another important observation of Inglehart and Weltzel refers to the highly rated Self-expression values in western countries, explained by their post-industrial economies, where people may take survival and freedom for granted, and opt for concern for the preservation of nature, tolerance, democratic values etc. "Intergenerational value change is not automatic: it takes place only if a society's younger cohorts experience more secure living conditions throughout their preadult years, so that they grow up taking survival for granted" (Dülmer, Inglehart, & Welzel, 2015: 69).

#### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VALUES AND BEHAVIOR

In order to understand the impact of personal values on environmental behaviour, we combined elements from two important theories that connect both values and behaviour, one coming from social psychology (Ajzen & Fishbein, 2005) and the other from environmental education, the Value-Belief-Norm Theory, as presented by Stern, Dietz, Abel, Guagnano and Kalof (1999), and Ghazali, Nguyen, Mutum and Yap (2019). It is particularly important to distinguish factors that can and those that cannot be influenced by education and act according to this knowledge. For example, education could and should offer knowledge and experience, facilitate awareness of the consequences, and help develop pro-environmental values and attitudes and proper behaviour, but it can hardly change students' personalities or parental expectations. Knowledge primarily refers to understanding of the natural environment and biodiversity and the importance of solving existing problems, in order to achieve the harmonious coexistence of living beings on our planet.

From the multilevel interaction of factors (Diagram 1), the difficulty of developing environmental values in students through school activities can be understood. It is also obvious how children's important others (parents, teachers, friends) play a major role in this process. Further, complete educational efforts should have the same orientation; schools should not only be performing environmental education activities inside the school walls and within the ambit of few school subjects. A unified strategy should be adopted that will result in an educational practice where the whole school curriculum is devoted to promoting environmental values, and this effort should be supported in society.




Diagram 1. From pro-environmental values to pro-environmental behaviour

#### VALUES AND BEHAVIOR - EMPIRICAL FINDINGS

The value-based theory of environmental concern (Stern & Dietz, 1994; Schultz et al., 2005) was formulated in an attempt to explain the relationship between values and behaviour. It recognizes values as incentives for behaviour in the awareness of possible harmful consequences for the objects of value. Through the choice of an object of value a person makes, we can distinguish the source of their environmental concern - egoistic attitudes revolve around the person him/herself, social-altruistic attitudes involve concern for all people in general,

and biospheric attitudes involve concern for all living beings. This three-factor structure of environmental attitudes was confirmed empirically (Schultz, 2000). According to this theory, we can distinguish the different bases of environmental concern that people express. Therefore, we can make predictions about what an incentive for behaviour would be for people who appreciate different objects of value; individuals with egoistic attitudes will react to protect themselves when they felt endangered; those with social-altruistic attitudes will react if they perceive a threat to people around them, or humanity in general. The third orientation implies that the individual's behaviour is directed by their care for forests, fish and insects, even if no human beings are directly endangered. According to Schultz (2000), the type of environmental concern is an indicator of the degree to which our interconnection with nature is emphasized in our view of ourselves.

Certainly, the categorization of different impacts can only ostensibly be made in such a clear way. The long-term effects of many ecological problems and human mistakes have repercussions on different species and numerous social communities, leaving the majority of individuals on unsafe ground. There are not many people that are not threatened by ozone depletion, deforestation, fresh water contamination, chemically treated food, or air pollution etc. Furthermore, one-year's worth of Earth's resources for 2019 were exploited in only 7 to 10 months (Global Footprint Network, 2019), which means that we are consuming resources that belong to the future; actually, we are borrowing them. Inevitably, the condition of our environment largely determines the quality of our life and health. Since all living creatures are connected by numerous interactions and each individual is a member of an ecosystem and of food web, explaining the possible consequences of environmental problems for each individual, and emphasizing the importance of behavioural change is significant for individuals displaying all the identified types of attitudes.

In samples of students from six countries, self-transcendence values were found to correlate positively with biospheric environmental concern, in two samples they correlate positively with altruistic environmental concern, while in three samples self-transcendence values correlate negatively with egoistic environmental concern (Schultz et al., 2005). These results indicate that expressing higher concern for environmental problems correlates positively with self-transcendence values, which presume the reinforcement of other people's needs and surpassing selfish interests. The same authors (Schultz et al., 2005) determine that self-

transcendence values are positive predictors of pro-environmental behaviour, moderated by an awareness of the possible consequences of global issues and a sense of personal responsibility for the environmental problems. Awareness of possible consequences is directly related to knowledge, since being aware means confronting the facts, understanding them, and predicting possible outcomes. This is where the authors see the significant role of education in raising awareness of local and global problems.

Is anthropocentrism inevitably bad? There are opposing views to this problem, with some authors arguing that anthropocentric attitudes and values are benign (Grey 1998; Norton 1984; Weston 1985), while others state that they are harmful for environmental conservation (Cafaro & Primack 2014; Kopnina, Washington, Taylor, & Piccolo, 2018; Rolston 2012; Shoreman-Ouimet & Kopnina 2016). A recent study conducted in Serbia (Marušić Jablanović & Stanišić, 2020) sheds some light on this issue, finding that anthropocentric attitudes, reflecting the value of the environment as a resource, have zero correlation with self-reported proenvironmental behaviour. Connectedness with nature, on the other hand, referring to the ecocentric world view where humans and nature are equally important and mutually interdependent, correlates significantly positively with self-reported proenvironmental behaviour and it also distinguishes people with different levels of environmental activism. Therefore, the anthropocentric attitudes do not seem to be an obstacle to environmental protection, but they cannot be expected to facilitate proper behaviour or activism. The strongest correlation of behaviour was found in the attitude of apathy, referring to the indifference and lack of interest towards environmental problems and an underestimation of their size. This correlation was, as expected, negative.

The research of Dutcher and associates (Dutcher, Finley, Luloff, & Johnson, 2007) underlines the importance of connectivity with nature as a subjective experience of people and nature belonging together, where the individual perceives him/herself as part of nature and nature as part of self, thus feeling empathy for the natural environment. This is considered to be the basis of environmental values. Connectivity with nature can also be perceived as a spiritual phenomenon, that can be understood by learning about a community's tradition and religious beliefs. It is found to relate positively to pro-environmental behaviour (Dutcher et al., 2007). This sense of connectivity and empathy seems to be crucial for appropriate behaviour towards the natural environment.

#### HOW TO FACILITATE VALUES AND BEHAVIOR? **EXAMPLES OF GOOD PRACTICE**

Traditionally, education relies on the premise that more information about the environment leads to behavioural change (Hugenford & Volk, 1990, according to Carmi, Arnon, & Orion, 2015). However, numerous studies have confirmed that knowledge is not enough to change behaviour (Liang et al., 2018; Negev, Sagy, Garb, Salzberg, & Tal, 2008; Stanišić & Marušić Jablanović, 2019). Therefore, we have searched for examples of good practice of environmental education and have chosen to present several studies offering useful proposals.

There is strong evidence that learning experiences occurring in the natural environment are very important for the development of environmental knowledge, attitudes, and pro-environmental behaviour (for example Ballantyne, Fien & Packer 2001a, 2001b; Ballantyne & Packer 2002; Bogner, 1998; Lai, 1999; Rickinson, 2001; Tanner, 2001, according to Ballantyne & Packer, 2009). In Queensland, Australia, the philosophy of real-world environmental education has been applied in practice. Environmental education centres have been established in different types of environments, providing the possibility to teach about various topics while encountering real-life examples. The role of these centres is to provide personal experiences in nature, which are confirmed to have a critical role in developing adequate attitudes. Ballantyne and Packer (2009) offer a very useful description and evaluation of teaching strategies. Programs are performed under the umbrella of Productive Pedagogy (Newmann & Wehlage, 1993) that relies on five standards: higher order thinking, depth of knowledge, connectedness to the world beyond the classroom, substantive conversation, and social support for student achievement. Different types of learning activities have different impacts on students' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour, while a reflective response was found to be the most effective out of eight learning activities, and the only one affecting attitudes. Also, the results indicate that changes of attitudes and behaviour occur due to experience-based learning, rather than due to teacherdirected learning. In general, the feelings of students accompanying the learning activities were those of excitement, surprise, and interest, and research has found that experience-based learning provokes more positive emotions. The indicators of experience-based learning are: learning by doing, spending time in the environment where student experience its characteristics, learning based on

real places and problems, using all five senses, and exploring problems in the local context. Finally, changes in attitude and behaviour are more likely to occur when there are lower positive emotions, like feeling happy or calm, than feelings of sadness or anger.

The basic idea of a "Sustainable School" is the integration of sustainability in every aspect of school life, namely the administration, the learning process, the management of buildings, transportation to and from school, and the school's relationship with the community (Huckle, 2010). An example of good practice applied in Greece (Trikaliti, 2016), relies on the philosophy of the sustainable school, which operates as a community, aiming to create a school climate in which a) learning, culture, sustainable development and health are promoted and b) the educational community is encouraged to engage with public goods and their management c) democracy and human rights are served, and d) active and creative citizens are formed. It tries to activate mechanisms for the transformation of the school into a sustainable one. The holistic approach of the school as an organization is adopted in order to encourage the teachers to gradually involve themselves in the transformation processes of their school and to follow its course of change. Sustainability at school is based on eight pillars: democracy and participation, learning frameworks, culture and arts, building and playgrounds, energy and movement, water and waste, health and nutrition, from local to global.

Lithoxoidou, Georgopoulos, Dimitriou and Xenitidou (2017) performed didactic intervention involving 75 kindergarten children in order to facilitate adoption of more environmentally friendly values. The educational intervention included activities designed to be connected on the one hand to the forest close to the kindergarten and on the other hand to be part of a unified environmental awareness program. The methodology they followed had elements of experiment with an introduction and final evaluation as well as research that emphasized: extracurricular learning (e.g., forest trip), group work, emotional involvement, role-playing games, informing parents and finally a party in the schoolyard. It had the characteristics of a holistic, experiential, and child-centred approach that are key components of the project method. The intervention also included an evaluation of the program with a quantitative comparison of the answers to a questionnaire measuring pro-environmental values. The comparison showed significant differences and the development of both anthropocentric and ecocentric environmental values in the children who participated in the project. More

specifically (Lithoxoidou, 2006), empirical research has identified three categories of values:

- egocentric: based on interests related to the consequences of a state of affairs on oneself, the avoidance of punishment, hedonism and practical interests of the individual:
- b) sociocentric: related to the individual's interest in other people and the need to respect stereotypical environmental rules; and
- environmental with two subcategories:
- c1) anthropocentric, focusing on the health, utility, recreational, and aesthetic value of natural resources,
- c2) ecocentric, related to the individual's interest in the needs of other life forms, to the belief that all life forms have intrinsic value, and to the emotional relationship between the individual and other life forms.

These results "fit" well with the proposed model (Diagram 1) and should be taken into account when planning environmental activities at school.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The state of the environment 45 years after the acceptance of the Global Framework for Environmental Education makes us wonder not only if societies, taken globally, have tried hard enough to achieve the proposed educational objectives, but also what the reach and the influence of education really is. The theories and research of values form a valuable source of information because they explain what kinds of values should be developed through education, and prove which values correlate positively with pro-environmental behaviour. We can also learn which values constitute barriers for such behaviour. One of the most widely used theories for investigating environmental values and their predictive power over behaviour, Schwartz's theory of values, indicates that Universalism, meaning understanding, appreciation, tolerance, and protection for the welfare of humanity and nature is the most important value type to be developed through education if we wish to achieve behavioural changes with regard to the environment.

The theory and research of Inglehart and Welzel (World Values Survey, 2020) refers to the way the perceptions of the importance of preserving the environment depend on the culture and socio-economic conditions. This also implies that we

can expect a rise in environmental values importance to be a rather difficult task in African-Islamic and Christian Orthodox cultural regions, where the customs and habits of generations can form a barrier to the improvement of pro-environmental behaviour. Society itself influences values and intentions through the examples an individual encounters and through the expectations and acceptance of certain behaviour by significant others. Further on, it seems that societies whose generations live in insecure conditions, with citizens struggling for their families' survival, cannot be expected to worry about the survival of the planet. At some points, people are placed in a very difficult position of choosing what is more important: to open new jobs or to preserve air/water/soil quality? When making this choice, poor societies tend to easily accept compromising the environment.

Furthermore, cultural values presented on the cultural map for the period 2010-2014 (World Values Survey, 2020) should also be interpreted taking into consideration the actual policies conducted by countries, since the values embraced by citizens can diverge from the policy and decisions made by governments. For example, USA and UK, in spite of having rather high self-expression values actually represent rather "hungry consumers of the Earth's resources, with much higher ecological demand that supply, while Russia, with high survival values has a higher ecological supply than demand" (International Business Degree Guide, 2020).

Researchers continually indicate that there is a gap between attitudes/ values and pro-environmental behaviour, and this issue has been addressed through different theories and empirical studies (Kollmuss & Agyeman, 2002). The theory of planned behaviour of Fishbein and Ajzen. Blake (1999) states that individual, social, and institutional constraints should be considered in order to understand the discrepancy between values and action. Therefore, it is not only individual values or cultural norms that influence our behaviour, but different kinds of subjective and objective barriers, as well. Trying to understand why knowledge and skills learned at school or the values and attitudes developed throughout lifespan, do not comprise stronger predictors of actual behaviour, we need to study the actual circumstances. The ecological problems that we come across are usually highly complex, demanding knowledge and skills from different domains. The circumstances in a country may also be environmentally unfriendly: offering no dumpsters for recycling, no pharmacies to collect outdated drugs, and no safe roads for riding bicycles, posing barriers to proper behaviour.

Universalism belongs to the pole of self-transcendence, the model opposed to self-enhancement. The theory proposes that in a single act one must choose either to work for one's own welfare or for the benefit of humanity and nature. According to the results of Schultz and associates (2005), this value is present in biosphere environmental concern (referring to concern for all living beings), and less in altruistic environmental concern (where an individual is concerned for all the people in general), while it is sometimes negatively related to egoistic environmental concern (where the individual is only concerned for him/herself). People who include aspects of nature within their cognitive representation of self tend to be concerned about more than just themselves. "Self-enhancement reflects a narrow construal of self, one that is less inclusive of other people or of other aspects of the living world. Such a self-construal leads to more egoistic concerns and a focus on the threats to self posed by environmental problems" (Schultz et al., 2005: 470). This statement is in line with the findings of the Serbian study indicating that connectedness with nature is related positively to pro-environmental behaviour, which is not the case with the anthropocentric attitude, where nature has value as a resource for people. These results indicate that education that confronts children with the fact that nature preservation is necessary for their own safety as well as for the benefit of their social surroundings and tries to facilitate anthropocentric values is not wrong. Including nature in the perception of self is certainly a more amiable goal and more difficult to achieve. Still, it is certainly worth every effort. The experience that we are part of nature and that it is part of us together with a sense of empathy with other living beings seems to be crucial for appropriate behaviour towards the natural environment (Dutcher et al., 2007). In achieving this, the integration of knowledge from social and natural science subjects, cooperation of schools with the wider community, and regular going outside, beyond the school walls is necessary.

#### CONCLUSION

To change something, we have to do something!

For decades, environmental education has been providing knowledge, skills, and environmental values intended to facilitate environmentally friendly behaviours and actions. Regarding environmental activities, children learn effortlessly because

the content of learning is directly related to their interests and the problems they face in their daily lives. Therefore, we can expect them to be internally motivated for environmental topics and outdoor activities. Through experiential, participatory group activities, children gain powerful experiences, due to active use of their senses and the emotions that these perceptions evoke. The good practices we have mentioned prove that the adoption of environmental values is possible through interesting activities that introduce many alternative teaching and learning techniques, quite far from the frontal teaching of the classical school.

Anthropocentric and the ecocentric values are not mutually exclusive; teaching can address the necessity of preserving natural resources for the sake of humans, and should make efforts to facilitate appreciation of nature as a phenomenon possessing its own intrinsic value. Both lessons and all extracurricular activities of the school can contribute to achieving this goal. It is a necessary condition for all students to be introduced to environmental values, and not just students who participate in the usually limited number of volunteer groups operating in schools. Even then, however, school work will not have expected results if other factors do not support it; for example, if the family is not imbued with similar values (Norms, Chart 1) and if the culture in general supports different values there will be constant conflict.

This goal can be achieved in the context of a sustainable school (Knowledge and Education, Chart 1). The first urgent request, then, is for a state to make a strategic decision to transform its schools into schools for sustainability and sustainable development that will develop a culture of pro-environmental values and behavior. The important others in schools are the teachers in first place. In order to carry out the task of promoting pro-environmental values, they need to be well informed and trained. A second urgent need, therefore, is the continuous training of all teachers on major environmental issues and ways of disseminating relevant information in their lessons, as well as in teaching methods and techniques that will help them plan appropriate educational activities in order to lead their students to a good knowledge of the environment and the adoption of environmental values. In conclusion, our proposal is the strategic choice of an alternative, sustainable school, with a well-designed curriculum and a school culture inspired by constant concern for the preservation of the environment, with respect for all living beings and prudent use of other natural resources, open to the community and to outdoor activities and experience-based learning. In this way, the convergence with the decisions of UNESCO and other International Organizations will be more efficient.


#### REFERECES

- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (2005). Chapter 5. The Influence of Attitudes on Behavior. In D. Albarracin, B. T. Johnson, & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), The Handbook of Attitudes, Volume 1: Basic Principles (pp. 173-221). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ballantyne, R., & Packer, J. (2009). Introducing a fifth pedagogy: experience □ based strategies for facilitating learning in natural environments. Environmental Education Research, 15(2), 243-262, DOI: 10.1080/13504620802711282.
- Blake, J. (1999). Overcoming the 'valueaction gap' in environmental policy: Tensions between national policy and local experience. Local Environment, 4(3), 257-278. DOI: 10.1080/13549839908725599
- Cafaro, P., & Primack, R. (2014). Species extinction is a great moral wrong. Biological Conservation, 170, 1-2.
- Carmi, N., Arnon, S., & Orion, N. (2015). Transforming Environmental Knowledge into Behavior: The Mediating Role of Environmental Emotions. The Journal of Environmental Education 46(3), 183-201. DOĬ: 20110.1080/00958964.2015.1028517
- Dietz, T., Fitzgerald, A., & Shwom, R. (2005). Environmental values. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 30(1), 335-372.
- Dülmer, H., Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2015). Testing the Revised Theory of Modernization: Measurement and Explanatory Aspects. World Values Research 8(2), 68-100.
- Dutcher, D., Finley, J., Luloff, A.E., & Johnson, J. (2007). Connectivity with Nature as a Measure of Environmental Values. Environment and Behavior, 39(4) 474-493. DOI: 10.1177/0013916506298794
- Feather, N. T. (1988). The meaning and importance of values: Research with the Rokeach Value Survey. Australian Journal of Psychology, 40(4), 377–390. DOI: 10.1080/00049538808260057
- Ghazali, E. M. Nguyen, B. Mutum, D. S., & Yap, S. F. (2019). Pro-Environmental Behaviours and Value-Belief-Norm Theory: Assessing Unobserved Heterogeneity of Two Ethnic Groups. Sustainability, 11, 3237. doi:10.3390/su11123237. Retrieved from: www.mdpi.com/journal/ sustainability
- Global Footprint Network (2019). Earth Overshoot Day. Retrieved from: https://www. footprintnetwork.org/our-work/earth-overshoot-day/
- Grey, W. (1998). Environmental value and anthropocentrism. Ethics and the Environment, 3(1), 97-103. Retrieved December 9, 2020, from http://www.istor.org/stable/27766045
- Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-related Values. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Huckle, J. (2010). ESD and the current crisis of capitalism: teaching beyond green new deals. Journal of Education for Sustainable Development, 4(1), 135-42. DOI: 10.1177/097340820900400119
- Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2005). Modernization, Cultural Change, and Democracy: The Human Development Sequence. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- International Business Degree Guide (2020). Hungry Planet: Consumption Around the Globe. Retrieved from https://internationalbusinessguide.org/hungry-planet/
- Jianping, L., Minrong, L., Jinnan, W., Jianjian, L., Hongwen, S., & Maoxing, H. (2014). Global Environmental Issues and Human Wellbeing. In L. Jianping, L. Minrong, W. Jinnan, L. Jianjian, S. Hongwen, & H. Maoxing (Eds.) Report on Global Environmental Competitiveness. Current Chinese Economic Report Series. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-54678-5 1
- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior? Environmental Education Research 8(3), 239-260. doi: 10.1080/13504620220145401
- Example 10 Kopnina, H., Washington, H., Taylor, B., & Piccolo, J. J. (2018). Anthropocentrism: More than just a misunderstood problem. Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics, 31(1), 109-127.
- Lebedeva, N., Schwartz, S.H., Van De Vijver, F.J., Plucker, J., & Bushina, E. (2019). Domains of everyday creativity and personal values. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 2681.
- Liang, S. W., Fang, W. T., Yeh, S. C., Liu, S. Y., Tsai, H. M., Chou, J. Y., & Ng, E. (2018). A nationwide survey evaluating the environmental literacy of undergraduate students in Taiwan. Sustainability, 10(6), 1730.
- E Lithoxoidou, L. (2006). Η συμβολή ενός προγράμματος περιβαλλοντικής εκπαίδευσης στην καλλιέργεια στάσεων και αξιών στην προσχολική ηλικία. Διδακτορική διατριβή. Θεσσαλονίκη: Τμήμα Επιστημών Προσχολικής Αγωγής και Εκπαίδευσης, Παιδαγωγική Σχολή, ΑΠΘ.
- Lithoxoidou, L., Georgopoulos, A. Dimitriou, A., & Xenitidou, S. (2017). "Trees have a soul too!" Developing empathy and environmental values in early childhood. The International Journal of Early Childhood Environmental Education 5(1), 68-88.
- Marušić-Jablanović, M. & Stanišić, J. (2020). Predviđanje ekološkog aktivizma uloga znanja, stavova, afekta i ponašanja [Predicting environmental activism - the role of knowledge, attitudes, affect and behavior]. Andragoške studije, 2, 127-144. doi: 10.5937/AndStud2002127M
- Negev, M., Sagy, G., Garb, Y., Salzberg, A., & Tal, A. (2008). Evaluating the environmental literacy of Israeli elementary and high school students. The Journal of Environmental Education, 39(2), 3-20.
- Dewmann, F.M., & Wehlage, G.G. (1993). Five Standards of Authentic Instruction. Educational Leadership, 50(7), 8-12.
- Norton, B. (1984). Environmental Ethics and Weak Anthropocentrism. Environonmental Ethics, 6, 131–148.
- Rolston, H. (2012). A New Environmental Ethics. New York: Routledge.
- Sagiv, L., & Roccas, S. (2017). What personal values are and what they are not: taking a crosscultural perspective. In S. Roccas & L. Sagiv (Eds.), Values and behavior: Taking a cross-cultural perspective (pp. 3-13). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56352-7
- Schultz, P.W. (2000). Empathizing with nature: The effects of perspective-taking on concern for environmental issues. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56, 391-406. doi: 10.1111/0022-4537.00174.
- Schultz, P.W., Gouveia, V.V., Cameron, L.D., Tankha, G., Schmuck, P., & Franěk, M. (2005). Values and their Relationship to Environmental Concern and Conservation Behavior. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 36(4), 457-475. doi: 10.1177/0022022105275962.
- Schwartz, S.H. (2009). A theory of cultural value orientations: Explication and applications. In S. Masamichi (Ed.), New Frontiers in Comparative Sociology (pp. 173-219). Boston: Brill. doi: 10.1163/156913306778667357.

- Schwartz, S. H. (2011). Values: Individual and cultural. In F. J. R. van de Vijver, A. Chasiotis, & S. M. Breugelmans (Eds.), Fundamental questions in cross-cultural psychology (pp. 463-493). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CB09780511974090.019
- Schwartz, S. H. (2012). An Overview of the Schwartz Theory of Basic Values. Online Readings in Psychology and Culture 2(1), 1-20, doi: 10.9707/2307-0919.1116.
- Schwartz, S. H. (2017). The Refined Theory of Basic Values, In S. Roccas & L. Sagiy (Eds.) Values and Behavior (pp. 51-72). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-56352-7 3
- Schwartz, S. H., & Butenko, T. (2014). Values and behavior: Validating the refined value theory in Russia. European Journal of Social Psychology, 44, 719-813.
- Schwartz, S. H., Cieciuch, J., Vecchione, M., Torres, C., Dirilem-Gumus, O., & Butenko, T. (2016). Value tradeoffs and behavior in four countries: Validating 19 refined values. European Journal of Social Psychology 47(3), 241-258.
- Shoreman-Ouimet, E., & Kopnina, H. (2016). Culture and conservation: beyond anthropocentrism. Oxfordshire, UK: Routledge.
- Stanišić, J. & Marušić-Jablanović, M. (2019). Important but not sufficient? The role of factual knowledge in self-reported pro-environmental behaviour. In K. Ranđelović & M. Dosković (Eds), 15th International Conference DAYS OF APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY 2019. Book of abstracts. September 27-28th 2019, Niš, Serbia (93). Niš, Serbia: Faculty of Philosophy, University of Niš.
- Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T. D., Guagnano, G., & Kalof, L. (1999). A Value-Belief-Norm Theory of Support for Social Movements: The Case of Environmentalism. Huxley College on the Peninsulas Publications.
- Trikaliti, Α. (2016). Αειφόρο ελληνικό σχολείο. Διάλογοι! Θεωρία και πράξη στις επιστήμες αγωγής και εκπαίδευσης, 1, 125-129. doi: https://doi.org/10.12681/dial.8885. Retrieved from: http://ejournals.epublishing.ekt.gr/index.php/dialogoi/article/view/8885
- United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (1976). The Belgrade Charter, A Global Framework for Environmental Education. UNESCO-UNEP Environmental Education Newsletter 1(1), 1-10.
- Weston, A. (1985). Beyond Intrinsic Value: Pragmatism in Environmental Ethics. Environmental Ethics 7(4), 321-339. doi: 10.5840/enviroethics19857431
- World Values Survey (2020). Findings and Insights. Retrieved from: http://www. worldvaluessurvey.org/WVSContents.jsp?CMSID=Findings.

# AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHIES



#### Karina AVAGYAN

PhD, is a linguist, Russian language teacher and translator, Center for Russian Studies, Faculty of Political Science, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: cognitive linguistics, ethnic stereotype, contrastive analysis, conceptualisation, associative experiment.

E-mail: karinka2576@mail.ru

#### Sanja BLAGDANIĆ

PhD, associate Professor of natural and social sciences teaching methodology and vice-dean for Scientific research at the Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade. Her fields of research are: science and history teaching in primary education, pupils' misconceptions, and science literacy. E-mail: sanja.blagdanic@uf.bg.ac.rs.

#### Marija BOŠNJAK STEPANOVIĆ

PhD in early science education, associate professor at the Faculty of Education in Sombor, Serbia. Her fields of research are: inquiry-based learning, project-based learning, and science concept development.

E-mail: 96marija.bosnjak@gmail.com

#### Lidiia BUKVIĆ BRANKOVIĆ

MA, is a defectologist, PhD student at the University of Belgrade – Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, Serbia. Her fields of research are: problem behaviour prevention, positive youth development, protective and risk factors in schools.

E-mail: lidija bukvic@yahoo.com

#### Ariunsanaa BYAMBAA

PhD, is a microbiologist and a pedagogist, professor of the Department of Microbiology, School of Bio-Medicine, Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatarm Mongolia. Her field of research is qualitative methodology in educational research.

F-mail: ariunsanaa.b@mnums.edu.mn.

#### Sonia ČOTAR KONRAD

PhD, is a psychologist, associate professor of Psychology at the University of Primorska, Faculty of Education, Koper, Slovenia. Her fields of research are ICT in education, university teaching, teacher competence, and development of preschool children.

E-mail: sonja.cotarkonrad@upr.si

#### Ivana ĐERIĆ

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her research interests are: reflexive practice in professional learning, project-based learning, student motivation and autonomy, and qualitative methodology in educational research.

E-mail: ivana.brestiv@gmail.com

#### Jelena ĐERMANOV

PhD, associate professor of pedagogy, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Philosophy, Department of Pedagogy, Serbia. Her fields of research are General and School pedagogy, Pedagogical Axiology (evaluation in education, interactions, communication and interpersonal relations in education, hidden curriculum, class and school climate, school culture). E-mail: jdjer@ff.uns.ac.rs

#### Rajka ĐEVIĆ

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: inclusive education, social relationships of students with developmental disabilities, teacher professional development, teaching methods.

E-mail: rajkadjevic@gmail.com

#### Maia GELASHVILI

is a PhD student and research assistant at the Centre for International Higher Education, Boston College, USA. Her fields of research are quality assurance of higher education, international and comparative education, college teaching and assessment.

E-mail: gelashvi@bc.edu

#### Batbaatar GUNCHIN

Academician Member of Mongolian Academy of Medical Sciences, Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Medicine; Vice president for Academic Affairs at the Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences; President of Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. His fields of research are: education development, reference value of physiology, biochemistry, immunology in Mongols, improving medical service by advancing pre-graduate study for fundamental and medical microbiology for medical students and by updating residents and medical doctors in Mongolia.

E-mail: batbaatar@mnums.edu.mn

#### Nikoleta GUTVAJN

PhD, senior research associate and director of the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: identity, school underachievement, and qualitative methodology in educational research. E-mail: gutvajnnikoleta@gmail.com

#### Ljeposava ILIJIĆ

PhD, is a special education teacher, research fellow at the Institute of Criminological and Sociological research. Her fields of interest are a focus on criminological and penological issues, the problems of execution of the prison sentence, treatment and convicts, education and professional training of prisoners, and social reintegration of ex-offenders.

Email: lelalela bgd@yahoo.com

#### Tiiana JOKIĆ ZORKIĆ

psychologist, is a PhD student and a researcher at the Centre for Education Policy, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are inclusion and diversity in education, appropriation of education policy, qualitative methodology in educational research.

E-mail: tijana.z.jokic@gmail.com

#### Sergey KOKHAN

Candidate of Medical Sciences, Associate Professor, director of the Regional Center for Inclusive Education, Transbaikal State University, Chita, Russia. His fields of research are: inclusive education, psychological and pedagogical support of students with disabilities, the development of socio-cultural capabilities and adaptive sports, modern aspects of medical and social rehabilitation.

E-mail: ispsmed@mail.ru

#### Isidora KORAĆ

PhD in Pedagogy and PhD in Teaching Methodology. Professor in the scientific field: Pedagogical and Didactic group of subjects at Preschool Teacher Training and Business Informatics College of Applied Studies Sirmium, Sremska Mitrovica, Serbia. Her fields of research are: school and preschool teacher's professional development, class/school and preschool climate, and aesthetic education.

E-mail: oisidora@gmail.com

#### Marina KOVAČEVIĆ LEPOJEVIĆ

PhD, is a special education teacher, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. She participates in research projects related to students' behavioral problems, positive youth development, socioemotional learning, school, and family climate.

Email: marina.lepojevic@gmail.com

#### Witold KOWALSKI

Professor WSG: The University of Economics in Bydgoszcz. The fields of his research are: the introduction of health-saving technologies among the younger generation and student youth, especially recreational opportunities that contribute to human longevity.

E-mail: wiciukow@interia.pl

#### Jason LAKER

PhD, is a professor of counselor education at San José State University, California, USA; and Affiliated Research Faculty with the Center for Research and Education on Gender and Sexuality at San Francisco State University. His fields of research are: international and comparative higher education studies, counseling, student psychosocial development and support programs, and gender studies.

E-mail: jlaker.sjsu@gmail.com

#### Emiliia LAZAREVIĆ

PhD, is a defectologist speech therapist and defectologist for Education and Rehabilitation Hearing Disability Persons, Principal Research Fellow, Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: speech-language development, speech-language disorders, early literacy development, reading and writing disorders, specific learning disabilities. E-mail: elazarevic@ipi.ac.rs

#### Dušica MALINIĆ

is a research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. She has a PhD in education from the University of Belgrade. Her research focus is the causes of students' academic failure, teachers' pedagogical and methodical competence, and leadership in education. E-mail: malinic.dusica@gmail.com

#### Marija MALJKOVIĆ

PhD, is a special education teacher, Assistant professor at the University of Belgrade - Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation. Her interests are focused on the fields of special education and rehabilitation, treatment of juvenile delinquents, systemic family therapy, addiction, and behavioral disorders. Email: mara.maljkovic@gmail.com

#### Milica MARUŠIĆ JABLANOVIĆ

is a psychologist and doctor of andragogy, senior research associate employed at the Institute of Educational Research in Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research interest are teacher education and career development, personal values, scientific and environmental education and literacy. E-mail millica13@yahoo.com, milica.m.jablanovic@gmail.com

#### Olga MIKHAILOVA

PhD, Assistant Professor of the Department of Psychology and Pedagogy, Faculty of Philology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow, Russia. Her fields of research are: personality development psychology, psychology of innovation, acmeology and adragogy. E-mail: olga00241@yandex.ru; mikhaylova-ob@rudn.ru

#### Mihaylo MILOVANOVITCH

is senior policy specialist for system change and lifelong learning with the European Training Foundation, Italy, and a pro-bono affiliate and education integrity expert for the Center for Applied Policy and Integrity, Bulgaria. His current work and publications focus on policy appropriation experiences in education, integrity of education policy and practice, and stakeholder-driven education policy improvement in countries of Eastern Europe, Central Asia and Northern Africa.

Email: mihaylo@policycenters.org

#### Snežana MIRKOV

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of interest are: different aspects of the learning process in academic settings (learning goals, learning strategies, self-regulation, epistemological beliefs), and their relations with the learning effects achieved in the teaching process.

E-mail: smirkov@ipi.ac.rs

#### Gordana MIŠČEVIĆ

PhD, is a full professor in the field of social, environmental and scientific education (SESE) teaching methodology at the Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: environmental education methodology, primary school teacher education (elementary science), preschool teacher education (elementary science), innovative models of work with children in the field of in elementary science, development of pupils' metacognition.

E-mail: gordana.miscevic@uf.bg.ac.rs

#### Kornelija MRNJAUS

PhD, is associate professor at the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Department of Education, Rijeka, Croatia. Her fields of research are: vocational education and training, career counseling, values education, and intercultural education.

E-mail: kornelija.mrnjaus@uniri.hr

#### Andreas OIKONOMOU

PhD, is a psychologist, associate professor of the Department of Education at the School of Pedagogical and Technological Education, Thessaloniki, Greece. His fields of research are: educational psychology, developmental psychology, teacher education, environmental education.

E-mail: aoikonomou@aspete.gr

#### Kristinka OVESNI

PhD, is an andragogist, full-time professor at the Department for Pedagogy and Andragogy, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: human resource development, theories of adult learning, professional development, adult education planning.

E-mail: kovesni@gmail.com; kovesni@f.bg.ac.rs

#### Jelena PAVLOVIĆ

assistant professor at the Department of Psychology, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade. Research interests: learning and development in organizations, coaching psychology, qualitative research methods.

Email: jelena.pavlovic@f.bg.ac.rs

#### Branislava POPOVIĆ-ĆITIĆ

PhD, is a special pedagogist, full professor at the University of Belgrade - Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, Serbia. Her fields of research are: prevention science, positive youth development and schoolbased prevention programs.

E-mail: popovb@eunet.rs

#### Vera RADOVIĆ

PhD, is a pedagogist, associate professor at the Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: general didactics, professional education, and development of teachers.

E-mail: vera.radovic@uf.bg.ac.rs

#### Elena ROMANOVA

PhD. Associate professor in the Department of Physical Education, Altai State University, Russian Federation. Her fields of research are: Motivation of young people to engage in physical culture and sports, physical culture and sports at university, inclusive education, modern aspects of medical and social rehabilitation.

E-mail: romanovaev.2007@mail.ru

#### Mile SRBINOVSKI

PhD, Associate Professor, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Mother Teresa University, Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia. His fields of research are: environmental education, education for sustainability, ecology, environmental protection, biology education.

E-mail: mile.srbinovski@unt.edu.mk

#### Jelena STANIŠIĆ

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. The fields of her research are: environmental education, science study, teaching methods, and learning strategies.

E-mail: jstanisic@ipi.ac.rs

#### Jelena STEVANOVIĆ

PhD, is a philologist, senior research associate in the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: language culture/ language competence and functional literacy, Serbian language in primary and high school level, stylistics and orthography of Serbian language, critical literacy and theoretical and empirical research into textbooks.

E-mail: jelena.stevanovic.jelena@gmail.com

#### Danijela ŠĆEPANOVIĆ

PhD, is Education Policy Analyst and Education Technologist working on research and developmental projects in the area of digital education. She works at the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development in Serbia. She is an evaluation expert for the H2020 research program and member of the European Commission ET 2020 Working Groups related to Digital Education development since 2014 - Digital and Online Learning (2013-2015), Digital Skills and Competences (2015-2017), Digital Education, Learning, Teaching and Assessment (2018-2020).

E-mail: danijela.scepanovic@mpn.gov.rs

#### Tina ŠTEMBERGER

PhD, is a pedagogist, associate professor of Educational Research and a vice dean research at the University of Primorska, Faculty of Education, Koper, Slovenia. Her fields of research are educational research, alternative research methods, teacher competence, and inclusion.

E-mail: tina.stemberger@upr.si

#### Milia VUJAČIĆ


PhD, is a pedagogist, senior research associate at the Institute for Educational Research. Her fields of research are: inclusive education, teacher professional development, cooperative learning, school effectiveness. E-mail: mvujacic@ipi.ac.rs

#### Jania ŽMAVC

PhD, is a linguist, research associate, and the head of the Centre for discourse studies in education at the Educational Research Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia. Her fields of research are: rhetoric, argumentation, classics, multilingualism, curriculum design, didactics, discourse in education.

E-mail: janja.zmavc@gmail.com

## **AUTHORS' INDEX**



A	Astratova - 259, 262
Abazi - 354, 357, 362	Atman - 354
Abbott - 375	Avalos - 63
Abd-el-Khalıck - 362	Avramović Z 95
Abel - 339	Avramović I 135
Aczél - 77	Ax - 64
Adams - 142	Ayas - 38
Agnew - 376	
Agyeman - 346	В
Aizer - 375	Baggaley - 238
Ajzen - 339, 346	Bahar - 355, 373
Akerson - 38	Bain - 201
Aleahmad - 175	Bajaj - 299
Alexander - 375	Bakken - 77
Alexandrova - 261	Bales - 380
Alkaff - 353	Ball - 210
Allen - 49	Ballantyne - 343
Allman - 174	Banarjee - 277
Almeida - 65	Bandura - 274, 287
Almendarez - 27	Banzragch - 238
Ames - 297	Banjari - 203
Ananiev - 319, 321, 325	Barcelona - 108
Anderson D.M 389	Barke - 361
Anderson J 236	Barman - 36
Anderson W.L 203	Barnett - 54, 55
Andryukhina - 259	Barnhart - 213
Antić - 36, 37, 48, 53	Barraza - 353, 362
Antonio - 176	Barron - 64, 65
Arabatzis - 361	Barrows - 56
Arba'at - 360	Barthes - 74
Archer - 297	Bartlett - 210
Arnold - 135	Bašić - 375
Arnon - 343	Batrinca - 212, 222
Arthur - 396	Baumann - 119
Ash - 119	Bazić - 10

Beara - 142, 151	Blumenfeld - 56, 57, 62, 65, 297
Beavers - 174	Blyth - 407, 409, 410, 411, 412
Beers - 131	Bodenhorn - 353
Beijaard - 64	Bodur - 173, 174, 175, 176, 177
Belacchi - 119	Boekaerts - 274
Belawati - 238	Boeve - 361
Beletzan - 78	Bogan - 352
Benelli - 119, 120, 122, 129, 135	Bogner - 343, 353
Benson - 396, 397, 398, 405, 406, 407,	Boisvert - 297
409, 410, 411, 413	Bolam - 141, 142
Beręsewicz - 213	Bond - 211, 237
Berg - 352	Bonsignore - 175
Bergdahl - 211, 212, 236, 237, 243	Booth - 74
Berger - 65	Bordeleau - 297
Berglund - 396	Borisov - 320, 323
Berk - 380	Borko - 64, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177
Berman - 131	Borkowski - 273, 274
Bernadette - 143	Bornstein - 131
Betzer - 57	Borzone - 131
Biesta - 75, 92	Bostrom - 361
Biggs - 296, 311	Bouffard - 297
Binder - 119	Bouillet - 386
Bishop A 119	Boujaoude - 362
Bishop K 352	Bowen - 54
Bizzell - 76	Box - 54
Bjerk - 377	Boyes - 38
Black - 65	Bracken - 353
Blagdanić - 36, 48, 49, 53	Bracy - 377, 380
Blaikie - 361	Bradshaw - 387
Blake - 346	Braten - 310
Blazar - 160	Braun A 210
Blieck - 361	Braun V 145
Blomberg - 380, 389	Bredl - 212
Blommaert - 212	Breit - 173
Bloom - 131	Bridgstock - 289

Castro - 38, 40, 47

Catalano - 375, 396

Brinkworth - 388 Celinska - 377 Bromley - 109 Cestnik - 81 Brow - 260 Chalikias - 361 Brown - 203, 327 Chan - 298, 299, 362 Brownell - 119 Chen - 174 Browning - 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29 Chena - 56 Bruce - 57 Cherdakli - 253 Buchanan - 57 Chia - 55, 66 Bukvić - 124, 406, 407, 411 Chin - 55, 66 Bulatović - 275 Choy - 56 Bullis - 389 Christensen - 135 Bulunuz - 38 Chu - 353 Burke - 76 Churchill - 173 Burns - 110 Clark - 61 Bushina - 338 Clarke - 145, 387 Coates - 203 Bushway - 374, 375, 380 Buško - 275, 286, 288 Cochran-Smith - 200 Code - 274 Butenko - 338 Butler - 274 Cohen - 26 Butterworth - 95 Consiglio - 213 Buttran - 142, 154 Conzemius - 32 Copas - 175 C. Č Coppola - 352 Caena - 196 Crouse - 297, 299 Cafaro - 342 Culen - 353 Cain - 119 Cunningham - 289, 352 Calvert - 299 Cutri - 174 Cancino - 121 Cvetek - 200, 201, 202 Carlson - 119 Czerniak - 65 Čekić-Marković - 390 Carmi - 343 Carpenter - 175, 177, 212 Čolić - 122 Carr - 352, 375 D, Đ, Dž Casotti - 54

Dainville - 76

Danisch - 76

Darling-Hammond - 63, 64, 65 Dubrovina - 259, 267 Daudi - 352 Duell - 297, 299 Dülmer - 339 Day - 25, 375 De Brabander - 297 Duncan - 278, 279 Deci - 259 Dutcher - 342, 347 Dede - 173, 174, 176 Dweck - 169, 287, 296, 297 De Houwer - 131 Dziubani - 203 de Jong - 211 Dzobelova - 259 De Laet - 387 Derić - 58, 59, 63, 64, 143, 151 De La Paz - 57 Đermanov - 143 De Lisi - 135 Đević - 64, 164 Đorđev - 107 DeLisi - 377 Delserieys - 38 Đorđević - 106 Denicolo - 159 Đukić - 143 Denny - 387 Džinović - 63, 64, 141, 160, 164 Dent - 274, 275, 276 F De Temple - 121 Dewey - 29, 52 Faster - 298 Dickson - 197 Eccles - 259, 388 Dierkhising - 389 Edwards S.I. - 57 Dietz - 336, 339, 340 Edwards O.W. - 398 Dignath - 274 Efremov - 252 Dijkstra - 141, 142, 143 Elliot - 290 Dimitrijević - 97 Elliott - 375, 377 Dimitriou - 344 Enger - 352 Dimopoulos - 353 English - 64, 99, 122 Entwisle - 375 Dochy - 56 Entwistle - 295, 311 Dong - 212 Dowler - 274 Erdogan - 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 360, Doyle - 375 361, 363, 373 Draganić-Gajić - 376 Erickson - 174 Erylmaz - 40, 47 Dragićević - 97, 108, 109 Driscoll - 297

F

Fagan - 377

DuBois - 174, 175, 176, 177

Dubovicki - 203

Faherty - 237	Gariglietti - 299
Farley - 387	Garrison - 353
Farley Ripple - 142, 154	Geier - 57
Farrington - 375, 388	Gelman - 95
Fauning - 132	Gendenjamts - 238
Feather - 336	Georgopoulos - 344
Fenning - 375	Geyer - 203
Fernandez-Ramirez - 203	Ghazali - 339
Ferry - 76	Gijbels - 55, 56
Fien - 343	Gillis - 131
Filippatou - 57	Gini - 119
Finley - 342	Given - 142
Fischer - 175, 176	Glassett - 175
Fishbein - 339, 346	Gojkov - 53
Fishman - 69, 174, 175	Goldkind - 389
Fitzgerald - 336	Goldman - 353
Fontanieu - 361	Goldstein - 168
Forde - 197	Golinkoff - 190
Fors - 237	Golley - 353
Fox B 173	Golub - 262
Fox R.A 296	Gonzales - 174
Fragkiadaki - 38	Gonzalez - 121
Fraser - 55	Gonzalez Cabanah - 296, 297
Freelon - 222	Gorard - 110
Friedman - 134	Gordeeva - 261, 262, 264, 265
Fullan - 67, 160	Gottfredson - 375, 377, 388, 389
Furlong - 387	Gouveia - 78
	Govaris - 57
G	Govekar Okoliš - 204
Gabler - 78	Grant - 61, 160
Galichin - 321, 323	Green - 160
Galyardt - 175	Greenhalgh - 177
Gao - 296	Gregory - 259
Garb - 343, 353	Greiml-Fuhrmann - 203
Garcia - 274, 275, 288	Grey - 342

Grigorovitch - 38 Heckhausen J. - 261, 323 Griller Clark - 389 Hee - 353 Gromkova - 318, 325 Henny - 31 Groot - 375 Henriksen - 126, 134 Gruber - 203 Hernandez-Ramos - 57 Grue - 77 Herriman - 119 Guagnano - 339 Hershberger - 43 Gudmundsdottir - 211, 212 Herz - 389 Gunstone - 48 Herzberg - 76 Gunter - 387 Hewitt - 377 Hill - 203 Guskey - 160, 163 Hillman - 212 Н Hines - 354, 361, 362, 363 Hadwin - 274 Hirsch - 389 Hakes - 119 Hirschfield - 377, 391 Halverson - 154 Hirschi - 323 Hansen - 175 Hirsh-Pasek - 190 Hjalmarsson - 375 Hansson - 38 Hargadon - 175 Hodges - 211, 212, 237 Hargreaves - 61, 67 Hofer - 297, 298 Harlan - 57 Hoff - 120 Harlen - 54, 55 Hoffman - 143 Harlow - 380 Hofman - 141, 142 Harris J.M. - 62 Hofstede - 338 Harris P.R. - 361 Hogan - 160 Hart - 361 Holmberg - 237 Hartman - 203 Holmes-Henderson - 77 Harvey - 61, 63, 260 Holzer - 362 Hasani - 357, 360 Hord - 141, 142 Hathaway - 211, 212 Horsey - 375 Hattie - 311 Houle - 54 Havel - 389 Howe - 143 Hawkins - 375, 396 Hoyle - 297 Hebib - 177 Hsu - 38, 353 Heckhausen H. - 324 Hu - 174

Huberman - 160, 163	Jakšić I 298		
Huddleston - 175	Jamieson-Noel - 274		
Huei-Min - 352	Jank - 84		
Hugenford - 343	Jansen - 274		
Huizinga - 377	Janjić - 97		
Hungerford - 353, 354, 361, 362, 363	Jass Ketelhut - 173		
Hunniger - 212	Javornik Krečič - 205		
Hunt - 28	Jenkins - 119		
Hutter - 297, 299	Jenlink - 63		
	Jensen - 56, 212		
1	Jenson - 387, 388		
Idrizi - 357	Jerotijević - 390		
lermakov - 237	Jianping - 335		
lgbokwe - 353	Joaguin - 325		
llić M 36	Johansson - 382		
llić P 104	John - 30, 37, 40, 43, 46, 138		
Ilić Z 375, 376	Johnson - 174, 342		
Ilyin - 322, 323, 325	Johnston - 323		
Impedovo - 38 Jokić - 54, 55, 65, 30			
Inglehart - 338, 339, 345	Joksimović - 289		
Inhelder - 127	Jones - 134		
lpek - 38	Jonuzi - 357		
Ismaili - 354, 357, 358, 362	Jošić - 143		
Ivanov - 237	Jovanović - 143, 390		
lvić - 53, 124	Joyce - 161, 170		
lvković - 97			
	K		
J	Kaldahl - 76		
Jack - 387	Kaldi - 57		
Jackson L.W 27, 28	Kalof - 339		
Jackson M 202, 206	Kaltakci - 40, 47		
Jacobs - 173, 174, 175, 176, 177	Kame'enui - 119		
Jagaiah - 131	Kampeza - 38		
Jahng - 176	Kandil İngeç - 37		
Jakšić M 289	Kanfer - 324		

Kanselaar - 297 Kokhan - 237
Karabenick - 274 Kokotsaki - 65
Karaçalli - 57 Kollmuss - 346
Karimzadegan - 353 Kolodner - 53
Karlberg - 213 Kolokoltsev - 237

Karyanto - 360, 361 Konstantinović-Vilić - 377

Kašić - 119, 131 Kooij - 324 Kayalvizhi - 66 Kopnina - 342

Kearns - 131 Korać - 142, 143, 152, 153, 154, 155

 Keles - 353
 Korolkov - 254

 Kelly - 71, 176
 Korshunova - 259

 Kett - 380
 Kortenkamp - 361

 Khawaja - 362
 Korthagen - 160

Khoshaba - 260 Korur - 57

Kilpatrick - 53 Kosanović - 142, 143, 155

Kim - 135 Kostić - 130 Kimmons - 174, 212 Kostova - 353

King - 32, 173, 203 Kostović - 142, 143, 155 Kinnucan-Welsch - 63 Kovačević - 108, 112, 131

 Kirby - 296
 Kövecses - 108

 Kiseleva - 262
 Kraft - 160

 Kitsantas - 64, 290
 Kraig - 318, 320

 Kızılaslan - 356, 373
 Kraicik - 56, 61, 63, 65

Kjeldsen - 77 Krajicik - 67

Klafki - 84 Kranželić-Tavra - 375 Knabb - 54 Kranjčec - 204

Knaflič - 97 Krasny - 174, 175, 177

Knoll - 52, 62 Kraynik - 237

Knutsson - 237 Krishnakumari - 361 Kock - 76 Kristal - 108, 111

Kocsis - 353 Krnjaja - 53, 143, 151, 152

 Kodžopeljić - 122, 136
 Kromrey - 352

 Koehler - 177
 Kruger - 35, 40

Koellner - 173, 174, 175, 176, 177 Krutka - 175, 177, 212

Koenka - 274, 275, 276 Kub - 142

Kubek - 375, 389, 391 Lee - 325, 353 Kubitskey - 174 LeeKeenan - 149 Kudinov - 261, 323 Leeming - 353 Kuhlemeier - 360, 361, 363 Le Fevre - 63 Kumar - 277 Leffert - 397, 399, 407, 410 Kundačina - 362 Le Hebel - 361 Kurland - 120, 121, 128, 129 Lehtonen - 213 Kutu - 356 Leontiev - 260, 261, 262 Kuzmanović - 143, 286 Levinson - 210 Lewis - 55 Kwan - 57 Kyndt - 142 Li - 119 Kyriakopoulos - 361 Liang J.C. - 38 Liang S.W. - 343 1 Lim - 380 Ladewski - 61 Lin - 296 Lagerweij - 360, 361, 363 Lindstrand - 38 Lithoxoidou - 344, 345 Lagutkina - 236 Lai - 343 Liu - 174, 175, 177 Lajović - 160 Lochner - 375, 389 Lam - 56 Lockee - 211, 237 Lammers - 203 Lodewijks - 297 Loeber - 374 Lang - 382 Lonczak - 396 Lantz-Andersson - 212 Larina - 236 Lončarić - 286 Larouche - 297 Longobardi - 131 Larrabee - 36 Lopatina - 252 Lasen - 149 Lorion - 413 Laurie - 203 Losch - 160 Lavrič - 200, 202 Louws - 174, 176, 177 Law - 298, 299 Loyens - 56, 57 Lawy - 75, 92 Lozanov-Crvenković - 173 Lu - 260 Lay - 174, 176 Lazarević - 116, 118, 119, 122, 134 Lubovsky - 259, 267 Lebedeva - 338 Lucangeli - 119 Lečić-Toševski - 376 Luloff - 342

Lundin - 212	Mates - 325		
Ljung-Djarf - 38	Matijević - 53, 57		
	Matović - 144		
M	McBeth - 353		
MacGregor - 203	McCall - 174		
MacLachlan - 353	McCloskey - 173		
Maddi - 260, 262, 263, 265, 266	McGhee-Bidlack - 126, 129		
Magajna - 205	McGinnis - 168		
Maguin - 374	McGregor - 134, 290		
Maguire - 210	McKeachie - 275, 278		
Makki - 362	McLaughlin - 63		
Maksić - 106, 110	Mc Mahon - 197		
Malinić - 63, 64, 386	McMahon - 141		
Mancl - 352	McManus - 296		
Mancosu - 213	Meece - 297		
Mann - 380	Mee Hee - 353		
Mannes - 397, 398, 409, 411	Meiboudia - 353		
Marcer - 143	Meirink - 174		
Marcinkowski - 353	Memeti - 357, 358, 360		
Marcinkowskim - 352	Menard - 377		
Mardell - 142	Menyuk - 119		
Marentič Požarnik - 200, 202, 205	Menzies - 65		
Marinellie - 122	Meredith - 142		
Marin Jerez - 261, 323	Mergendoller - 56		
Markova - 320, 325	Merrick - 396		
Marković - 98	Messer - 37, 40, 43, 46		
Martin - 32	Metioui - 35, 37, 40, 43, 47		
Marton - 295, 298, 311	Meyer - 53, 84		
Marušić - 153	Meyers - 353		
Marušić Jablanović - 36, 48, 49, 342,	Micić - 96		
343	Mikeseii - 325		
Marx - 62	Mikhailova - 261, 321, 323		
Maslova - 236	Milin - 143, 151		
Maslow - 324	Milinković - 124		
Mason - 110	Milkus - 238		

Miller - 75, 76, 176, 352	Myers - 54
Milošević - 102, 113	
Minigan - 66	N
Miočinović - 122, 127	Nagy - 109, 119, 131, 323
Mioduser - 57	Najaka - 375
Mire - 31	Nastić-Stojanović - 375
Mirkov - 275, 287, 295, 296, 297, 298,	Negev - 343, 353, 360, 361, 363
299, 300, 309, 311, 312	Nelson - 387
Mirzaahmedov - 259	Nesbit - 274
Miščević - 48	Newman - 134
Mitchell - 48	Newmann - 343
Moallem - 56	Ng - 287, 352
Močnik - 76	Nguyen - 339
Mohd Zaid - 360	Nikolić-Ristanović - 377
Molle - 63	Nippold - 121, 132
Montpied - 361	Nissen - 126, 134
Mony - 353	Noonan - 174
Moore - 211, 237, 361	Norton - 342
Moretti - 389	Nouri - 211, 212, 236, 237, 243
Morgan - 380	Novak - 50, 63
Morrone - 352	Ntanos - 361
Mortensen - 76	Nussbaum - 75
Moskal - 203	
Moskovljević Popović - 120, 122	Ο
Moust - 56	Obadović - 173
Mrše - 390	O'Brennan - 387
Muis - 298, 312	O'Brien - 360, 361
Mujagić - 275, 286, 288	O'Connor - 361
Mukaržovski - 96	O'Donnell - 375
Mumford - 398	O'Dwyer - 353
Murati-Sherifi - 357	Ogunbode - 361
Muratović - 37	O'Keefe - 297
Murphy - 76, 203	Olinghouse - 131
Murray - 197, 198	Olson - 121
Mutum - 339	Olsson - 38

Olympia - 387 Pejović-Milovančević - 376 Opačić - 114, 298, 300 Pena - 274 Oparnica - 275, 286 Perels - 274 Orion - 343 Perry - 274, 297 Osborne - 66 Persico - 260 Pešec Zadravec - 76 Oshkina - 237 Osin - 261, 262, 264, 265 Pešikan - 36, 48, 53, 124 O'sullivan - 237 Peter - 396, 407 Ovesni - 173, 175, 177 Petrovački - 97, 111 Petrović - 98, 143 Р Phan - 298, 299, 309 Pabon - 377 Philipsen - 175, 176, 177 Packer - 142, 343 Phillips - 274 Pahl - 361 Piatelli-Palmarini - 118 Pais-Ribeiro - 411 Piccolo - 342 Pajares - 289 Piirto - 382 Pijaže - 36, 127 Palmer - 353, 362 Panadero - 273, 274, 276, 289 Pine - 37, 40, 43, 46, 55 Pantic - 353 Pintrich - 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 288, Parakevvopoulos - 353 289, 295 Paris - 274 Piquero - 380 Pirc - 79 Park - 174, 175, 176, 177 Parker - 25, 175, 177 Plazinić - 300, 308 Paternoster - 374, 375, 380 Plucker - 338 Patrick - 289 Poldrugač - 375, 387 Pavlin - 76 Pollard R. - 54 Pavlović J. - 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, Pollard J.A. - 396 Pollozhani - 358 297, 299 Pavlović V. - 375 Polshina - 325 Pavlović Breneselović - 53, 141, 143, 152 Ponmozhi - 361 Payne - 388 Ponte - 64 Pecore - 56, 62 Pope - 159 Pe'er - 353 Popović - 96 Peguero - 377, 380 Popović-Ćitić - 375, 406, 407, 411

Popović-Deušić - 376

Pejatović - 153

Postholm - 274	Reis - 213
Powell - 173, 174, 176, 177	Reyes-Garcia - 353
Pozo-Munoz - 203	Rhodes - 297, 299
Pratt - 119	Richardson V 63
Primack - 342	Richardson J.T.E 295, 298, 373
Prince - 213	Rickinson - 343
Prtljaga - 52, 53, 54, 58, 60	Rieser-Danner - 54
Psacharopoulos - 27	Rihn - 296
Puckett - 30, 31	Rikers - 56, 57
Pugachev - 237	Ristanović - 58, 60
Pulkkinen - 273, 274	Roberts - 353
Purdie - 311	Robinson - 238
Putnam - 64	Robottom - 361
Putnick - 131	Roccas - 336
Puustinen - 273, 274	Rocco - 142
	Rockcastle - 352
Q	Rodriguez - 38, 40, 47
Quintilian - 77, 78, 83, 90	Roehlkepartain - 397, 407, 409, 410, 411, 412
R	Roglić - 375
Radden - 108	Rolston - 342
Radić - 131	Romanova - 237
Radlović-Čubrilo - 173	Romashko - 322
Radović - 173, 175, 177	Rosandić - 108
Radulović - 152, 155, 275	
	Rosenfeld - 61
	Rosenfeld - 61 Rosenthal - 288
Ramli - 360, 361	
	Rosenthal - 288
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262 Rasulić - 108	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142 Rossi-Arnaud - 131
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262 Rasulić - 108 Raven - 352	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142 Rossi-Arnaud - 131 Roth - 352, 354
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262 Rasulić - 108 Raven - 352 Rebolloso-Pacheco - 203	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142 Rossi-Arnaud - 131 Roth - 352, 354 Rothstein - 66
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262 Rasulić - 108 Raven - 352 Rebolloso-Pacheco - 203 Redditt - 142	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142 Rossi-Arnaud - 131 Roth - 352, 354 Rothstein - 66 Rovira - 353
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262 Rasulić - 108 Raven - 352 Rebolloso-Pacheco - 203 Redditt - 142 Reed - 375	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142 Rossi-Arnaud - 131 Roth - 352, 354 Rothstein - 66 Rovira - 353 Rud - 375
Ramli - 360, 361 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262 Rasulić - 108 Raven - 352 Rebolloso-Pacheco - 203 Redditt - 142 Reed - 375 Rees - 110	Rosenthal - 288 Ross - 142 Rossi-Arnaud - 131 Roth - 352, 354 Rothstein - 66 Rovira - 353 Rud - 375 Ruggiero - 353

Rumble - 237	Schley - 121
Rusljakova - 262	Schmidt - 56
Russ - 174, 175, 177	Schmitz - 274
Rutar - 204, 205	Schnase - 259
Rutten - 75, 76	Schoenebeck - 175
Rutter - 361, 388	Schommer - 297, 299, 300
Ryabukhina - 320, 323	Schommer-Aikins - 297, 298, 299
Ryan - 259, 289, 396	Schon - 159
Rynsaardt - 160	Schugurensky - 174
Ryung - 353	Schultz - 336, 340, 341, 347, 361
	Schulz - 261, 323
S	Schumann - 325, 327
Sachs - 296, 298, 299	Schunk - 274, 290
Sadovnikova - 259	Schwartz - 336, 337, 338, 345
Sagiv - 336	Scott - 109, 119, 363
Sagy - 343, 353	Seegers - 297
Şahin - 38	Segedinac - 173
Saigo - 352	Segers - 56
Saizmaa - 238	Seifert - 297
Sakashita - 238	Semenova - 259
Salisbury - 110	Senechal - 120
Salzberg - 343, 353	Serra-Roldan - 398
Saljo - 295, 298, 311	Sesma - 407
Sanchez Abchi - 131	Shaha - 175
Sander - 203	Shek - 396
Sans - 76	Shevyakova - 254
Santana - 66	Shiang-Yao - 352
Savanović - 308	Shin-Cheng - 352
Savery - 55	Shih-Wu - 352, 360, 361
Savić - 111	Shillingford - 398
Scales - 397, 398, 405, 406, 407, 409,	Shoreman-Ouimet - 342
410, 411, 413	Shores - 387
Schahn - 362	Short - 161
Schaie - 319	Showers - 161, 170
Schleicher - 95	Shramko - 407, 410

Stančić - 111, 275 Stanisstreet - 38

Shriberg - 121	Stanišić - 342, 343, 359, 361
Shwom - 336	Stanković - 59, 63, 143, 151, 160, 163
Sicurella - 375	Stanojčić - 96
Silberberg - 375	Stanojević - 173, 175, 177
Silva - 119, 131, 411	Starkova - 325
Simić R 96, 104	Starostina - 237
Simić N 153, 308	Stein - 36
Simmons - 352	Stepanova - 320, 321, 322, 325
Simoncini - 142	Stern - 339, 340
Sinclair - 389	Stevanović - 95, 96, 97, 102, 106, 107,
Skaalvik - 297	110, 112, 113, 119, 134
Skordoulis - 361	Stevenson - 203
Sladoje Bošnjak - 300	Stoeger - 298
Smith C119	Stojanović - 53
Smith D275, 278	Stojnov - 63, 160, 163
Smith K199	Stoll - 141
Smolleck - 43	Stromso - 310
Snow - 120, 121, 128, 129	Suarez Riveiro - 296
Soares - 410	Suhre - 274
Soćanin - 375	Sujo de Montes - 174
Soetaert - 75, 76	Sun - 396
Sofroniou - 29	Sutton - 210
Sokoloff - 413	Sweeten - 374, 375, 380, 389
Soldatović - 143	Swennen - 197, 200
Somuncuogly - 297	Sychev - 261, 262, 264
Sözbilir - 356, 373	Symanyuk - 320, 323
Spataro - 131	Syvertsen - 405, 409, 410, 411, 413
Spiroska - 360	Szechy - 353
Srbinovski - 353, 354, 355, 357, 358,	Szerenyi - 353
359, 360, 361, 362, 363	Šefer - 58, 63, 64, 66, 119
Srećković-Stanković - 160	Ševa - 59
Stables - 352	Ševkušić - 143
Stahl - 109, 119	Šipka - 98
	and the state of t

Štefanc - 84

Τ Turaga - 361 Taccogna - 398 Türkmen - 37 Turner - 915 Tager-Flusberg - 119 Tal - 343, 353 Tuul - 238 Tamim - 61 Twomblly - 142 Taneva - 236 U Tanner - 343 Taraban - 54 Ültay - 37 Taskın - 37 Unruh - 389 Taylor - 93, 135, 342 Uşak - 355, 373 Tenjović - 106, 110 Usta - 37 Teodorović - 59 Utkina - 259 Thomas J.W. - 56, 61, 62, 67 Uyanga - 238 Thomas S. - 141 Uzelac - 386 Uzun - 353 Tighe - 119, 120 Tindall-Biggins - 375 V To - 119 Todd - 361 Valenčič Zuljan - 205 Valle Arias - 296 Tolchinsky - 131 Tomasello - 131 Van Berkel - 56 Tomera - 354, 361, 362, 363 Van Den Bergh - 360, 361, 363 Tomlinson - 288 Van den Bossche - 56 Tondeur - 175, 176, 177 Van Den Brink - 375 Torenbeek - 274 Van der Klink - 197 Torphy - 174, 176, 177 Van der Linden - 297 Tošović - 106 Van De Vijver - 338 Treleaven - 212, 222 Van Driel - 174 Van Dulmen - 407 Tretyakova - 237 Trikaliti - 344 Vangrieken - 142 Trivić - 95 Van Klaveren - 375 Trudel - 35, 37, 40, 43, 47 Van Petegem - 361 Van Putten - 297 Trust - 211, 212, 237 Tsai - 38 Van Tulder - 161 Van Veen - 174 Tulman - 380 Tunmer - 119 Varis - 212

Varisli - 360	Ward - 375	
Vasić - 97, 122, 124, 129, 130, 133	Wardani - 360, 361	
Vath - 174	Ward-Lonegran - 132	
Vavrus - 210	Washington - 342	
Veenman - 161	Waterston - 295	
Vegetti - 213	Watson - 121	
Vermunt - 297	Wehlage - 343	
Vescio - 142	Wehren - 135	
Veselinov - 58, 60	Wei - 63	
Veselinović - 390	Wei-Ta - 352	
Vesić - 289	Welsh - 388	
Vezeau - 297	Weltzel - 339	
Vigotski - 36, 109	Welzel - 338, 339, 345	
Villadsen - 76	Weston - 342	
Vilotijević - 53, 101	Whalen - 211, 212	
Vizek-Vidović - 289	Whitehouse - 173	
Vladisavljević - 130	Wierstra - 297	
Voeten - 161	Wierzbicka - 108	
Vogrinc - 205	Wigfield - 259	
Volk - 343, 353	Wiggins - 65	
Voss R 203	Wiliam - 65	
Voss H. L 375	Willet - 177	
Voyer - 110	Williams - 360, 375	
Vučetić - 286	Willits - 363	
Vujačić - 59, 64, 289	Willott - 238	
Vuković - 122, 135	Wilson - 375	
Vušurović - 390	Winder - 296	
	Winne - 274	
W	Winstead - 210	
Waintrup - 389	Wolf - 55	
Walford - 362	Wolfgang - 380	
Wallace - 141	Wolters - 274, 275, 288	
Walsh-Daneshmandi - 353	Wong - 296	
Wang B 175, 177	Wood - 259	
Wang M.T 388	Woodhall - 27	

Wrosch - 261, 323

Wubbels - 64

## Χ

Xenitidou - 344

Υ

Yablochnikov - 259

Yap - 339

Yaşar - 356

Yavetz - 353

Yildrim - 297

Yilmaz - 38

Yopp - 119

Yovanoff - 389

Yu - 275, 352

## Ζ

Zabukovec - 205

Zeer - 320, 323

Zener - 237

Zeng - 352

Zenki - 357

Zhu - 175, 176, 177

Zidar Gale - 79

Zimmerman - 273, 274, 290

Zlatić - 106

Zmeev - 323

Zmeyov - 318

Zobenica - 275, 286

Zsoka - 353

Zubrick - 135

## Ž

Žagar - 76, 79, 80

Žmavc - 76, 78, 79, 80

Žunić-Pavlović - 375

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

37.014.3(100)(082) 37.091.33(082) 37.018.43:077]:37.091.12(082) 37.015:159.953.5(082) 316.624(082)

PROBLEMS and perspectives of contemporary education / editors Nikoleta Gutvajn, Jelena Stanišić, Vera Radović. - Beograd: Institute for Educational Research: Faculty of Teacher Education; Moscow: Faculty of Philology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 2021 (Beograd: Kuća štampe plus). - 445 str.: graf. prikazi; 30 cm. - (Series Pedagogical theory and practice; 52)

Tiraž 300. - Str. 9-20: Foreword / Nikoleta Gutvajn, Jelena Stanišić, Vera Radović. - Authors' biographies: str. 417-426. - Napomene i bibliografske referece uz tekst. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Registar.

ISBN 978-86-7447-157-9 (IPI;)

- 1. Gutvajn, Nikoleta, 1974- [приређивач, сакупљач] [аутор додатног текста] 2. Stanišić, Jelena, 1981- [приређивач, сакупљач] [аутор додатног текста] 3. Radović, Vera Ž., 1972- [приређивач, сакупљач] [аутор додатног текста] а) Образовна политика -- У свету -- Зборници б) Настава -- Иновације -- Зборници в) Информациона технологија -- Образовање на даљину -
- Зборници г) Учење учења -- Зборници д) Девијантно понашање -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 46560777

## FROM REVIEWS

Main aim of the monograph titled *Problems and perspectives of contemporary education*, is to thorough explore, critically analyze and elaborate complex, dynamic, multilayers and reciprocal relationship between significant changes in educational social environment and readiness, of educational system to anticipate, recognize, understand and adequately respond to those challenges. All contributing authors enthusiastically embraced the notion that education presents an important and proactive agent of social changes and consequently accepted all challenges as an opportunity for improvement and development of both society and educational system.

Professor Emeritus Djuradj Stakic Pennsylvania State University, USA

The monograph is dedicated to looking into extremely significant and current concerns within educational policy and educational practice. The selected topic is viewed from the perspectives of contemporary theoretical approaches, but it is also empirically researched. A very large and relevant literature was used both for explaining the selected research subject and discussing the obtained results. A diverse, contemporary methodology was applied in researches, and the authors of works, starting from the existing results, analysed issues at a deeper level and illuminated some aspects that had not been studied thus far.

Professor Marina Mikhailovna Mishina Russian State University for the Humanities, Russia

The main topics covered by the monograph can be classified as traditional to some extent — related to approaches to learning, language culture etc., and modern — connected with the andragogical view, coaching in teacher training, also the problem of distance learning during the covid pandemic, and models for preventing problem behaviors...The main leitmotif that permeates the content of all presented articles is the topic of the development of key skills, attitudes, experience, creativity — by both subjects in the educational process, and it gives semantic integrity to the monograph.... In view of the new social realities, a reasonable emphasis is placed on the continuing education and development of the teachers themselves, dictated by the accelerated pace of social change.

Professor Teodora Stoytcheva Stoeva University of Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridsky", Bulgaria

ISBN 978-86-7447-157-9







