



# PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION




EDITORS

NIKOLETA  
GUTVAJN

JELENA  
STANIŠIĆ

VERA  
RADOVIĆ





Series  
„PEDAGOGICAL THEORY AND PRACTICE”

52



PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION

*Publisher*

Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia

*Co-publishers*

Faculty of Philology, Peoples` Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University),  
Moscow, Russia

Faculty of Teacher Education, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

*For publisher*

Nikoleta GUTVAJN

*For co-publishers*

Viktor BARABASH

Danimir MANDIĆ

*Editors*

Nikoleta GUTVAJN

Jelena STANIŠIĆ

Vera RADOVIĆ

*Proofreader*

Esther GRACE HELAJZEN

*Technical editor*

Jelena STANIŠIĆ

*Cover design*

Branko CVETIĆ

*Typeset and printed by*

Kuća štampe plus

[www.stampanje.com](http://www.stampanje.com)

ISBN 978-86-7447-157-9

*Copies*

300

COPYRIGHT © 2021 INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH



# **PROBLEMS AND PERSPECTIVES OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATION**

Editors

Nikoleta GUTVAJN

Jelena STANIŠIĆ

Vera RADOVIĆ

Belgrade  
2021.

INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH  
BELGRADE, SERBIA

FACULTY OF PHILOLOGY, PEOPLES' FRIENDSHIP UNIVERSITY OF RUSSIA  
(RUDN UNIVERSITY), MOSCOW, RUSSIA

FACULTY OF TEACHER EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE  
BELGRADE, SERBIA

*Reviewers*

Professor Emeritus **Djuradj STAKIC**

Department of Human Development and Family Studies, Pennsylvania State  
University, Philadelphia, USA

Professor **Marina MIKHAILOVNA MISHINA**

Department of Psychology and Pedagogy of Education, Russian State  
University for the Humanities, Moscow, Russia

Professor **Teodora STOYTCHIEVA STOEVA**

Department of Social, Organizational, Clinical and Pedagogical Psychology,  
Faculty of Philosophy, University of Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", Sofia, Bulgaria

*Note. This book was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development  
of the Republic of Serbia (Contract No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200018).*

# KNOWLEDGE IN SHADOW – MISCONCEPTIONS RELATED TO LIGHT PHENOMENA THAT ARE RETAINED IN SPITE OF EDUCATION<sup>2</sup>

---

Gordana MIŠČEVIĆ

Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Sanja BLAGDANIĆ

Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Marija BOŠNJAK STEPANOVIĆ

Faculty of Education in Sombor, University of Novi Sad, Sombor, Serbia

## INTRODUCTION

Misconceptions in knowledge related to various segments of life are more or less present not only in children but also in adults, and often remain even after formal education. There are numerous sources of these non-scientifically based interpretations of reality, one of them being the teachers themselves. As certain studies point to an analogy between misconceptions of students and teachers (Kruger, 1990; Metioui & Trudel, 2012), the present paper addresses this issue pertaining to the topic of light phenomena and the formation of shadows.

---

<sup>2</sup> This research is part of the project "Concepts and strategies for ensuring the quality of basic education" – Faculty of Teacher Education in Belgrade (Contract No. 451-03-9/2021-14).

## THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

### The construct of scientific literacy

The term *scientific literacy* has been present in a large number of studies since the end of the 1950s. Scientific literacy is a complex, multidimensional construct and represents a set of competencies (Antić & Pešikan, 2015). It relates not only to acquiring a specific content in the area of physics, biology, chemistry or some other discipline, but also to a specific way of thinking, a system of values, and practice. There are two basic approaches to determining scientific literacy and these are a behaviorist and a constructivist approach. While the former implies that there are some measurable behaviors that an individual should possess in order to be scientifically literate, the latter emphasizes the construction of one's own interpretations, irrespective of the way in which scientific content has been presented, implying that everyone learns in their own way (Marušić Jablanović & Blagdanić, 2019).

### The notion of misconceptions

Within the school context, spontaneous notions formed by the students' everyday experiences interact with organized and interrelated scientific notions, creating dynamic constructive relationships. A child does not learn scientific notions by heart, but creates them with the greatest effort of their own thoughts (Vigotski, 1996). Learning is a dynamic process in which students construct their knowledge and structures by interacting with the environment and people (Pijaže, 2002). Knowledge is, therefore, constructed by an individual and this is the reason why the teacher-centered approach has often been criticized. Students constantly reconstruct their understanding of phenomena in the social and physical environment by actively thinking about entities and processes. The assimilation process represents a change of existing concepts, leading to their integration into existing structures, while the process of adaptation of an organism to outer conditions represents accommodation (Ilić, 2013). Misconceptions pertain to the ideas created by wrong assimilation and they are contrary to scientifically accepted conceptions (Stein, Larrabee & Barman, 2008). A number of other terms can be found in literature: *preconceptions*, *naive beliefs*, *naive knowledge*, *naive theories*,



*and mixed conceptions* (according to Antić, 2007). Misconceptions may remain in the student's cognitive structure or even reappear a few weeks after the instructional process is over and during which the student showed initial understanding of the scientific notion. Once scientific concepts are misunderstood, the student's progress in a particular subject is impaired. Misconceptions are therefore recognized as a serious educational problem and their overcoming is necessary for effective and long-lasting learning (Metioui & Trudel, 2012; Muratović, 2015).

There has been a growing interest among researchers in the reasons for misconception appearance, various types of misconceptions, and adequate pedagogical interventions. Various classifications of misconceptions have been given so far, and the ones that, according to the authors' opinion, appear as the most adequate are the following: overgeneralized knowledge (ideas that are correct in some, but not in all situations), misconceptions (incorrect in all situations), or linguistic and semantic errors (resulting from linguistic confusion, particularly in case of polysemous words as their understanding is mainly associated with the most common or the first meaning of the word, i.e. the meaning that the student is familiar with (Pine, Messer & John, 2001).

### Research on misconceptions related to light phenomena

A considerable number of studies have focused on misconceptions of students, pre-service, and in-service teachers about light phenomena – the nature of light, light sources, shadows etc. A study on the model of the rectilinear propagation of light and the variation of a shadow size conducted with pre-service teachers reports that misconceptions are created due to the fact that lighting is often generated by large sources generating blurred shadows (Metioui & Trudel, 2012). Certain studies have focused on the semantic and pedagogical improvement of prospective physics teachers' understanding of light concepts by drawing concept maps (Taşkın & Kandil Ingeç, 2019), or by textual explanations of ready-made concept maps (Usta, Ültay & Ültay, 2020). A recommendation for providing a better-quality understanding is to incorporate educational technology, i.e. 2D models, 3D visualisations, animations and films (Türkmen, 2015). Children's explanations are based on their own experiences, information obtained from adults or perceived through media. More and more researchers point to the importance of initiating science education at an early age and offer various modes of involving little

children in scientific activities (Akerson, 2019), while there are those who point out the necessity of conducting additional research into the development of students' scientific reasoning skills (Yilmaz & Bulunuz, 2019). A survey on alternative ideas about shadow formation focused on drawings by preschool children aged 4-6 (Delserieys, Impedovo, Fragkiadaki, & Kampeza, 2016). The indicators for analyzing drawings fell within the four categories: presence of the three entities needed to represent the phenomenon of shadow formation, shadow characteristics, alignment, and nature of light. The results highlighted the diversity of categories that emerged, i.e. alternative ideas. Castro and Rodriguez (2014) studied mental representations of light in students aged 8-9 years and concluded that the subjects used different categories of representations for the light and rarely recognized the light as a separate entity in the area, independent sources that produce it and the effects it causes during its spread. A similar study with students aged 11-12 years showed almost identical findings (Grigorovitch, 2015). A study in which children used their own bodies as obstacles to the light and in that way explored the direction of the light and changes of the relative positions of the light source and the obstacle, showed positive effects on learning about shadow formation while employing the body-based activity. The activity explicitly incorporates the rectilinear movement of light into the process of shadow formation and at the same time provides learning through direct experience. Numerous research works on detected misconceptions related to the nature of light, light sources, propagation of light and material transparency have examined the effectiveness of certain teaching strategies (constructivist approach, project-based learning, learning through play, problem-oriented learning by computer games) on the process of conceptual change (Boyes & Stanisstreet, 1991; Grigorovitch, 2014; Hsu, Tsai, & Liang, 2011; Lindstrand, Hansson, Olsson, & Ljung-Djarf, 2016; Şahin, Ipek, & Ayas, 2008). All these studies report that in spite of the applied teaching strategy, children of various ages (from preschool to high school age) still show certain misconceptions, such as the ideas that the shadow is always behind an object, the shadow has to be on the same side as the Sun, the Moon is a source of light and the firefly is not, etc.

### Light phenomena in the primary and secondary school syllabi in Serbia

Since the present study included fourth grade students and class teachers in primary education, this section focuses on the contents included in the primary

school syllabi, both in the first (1<sup>st</sup>- 4<sup>th</sup> grade) and second cycle (5<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> grade) of primary education. Further analysis of the fourth grade content includes only topics covered by the subject Nature and Society. As teachers were also the study participants, the contents taught in higher grades have also been included in the analysis. Although the teachers had completed primary education (and further education) at different times, the contents presented here have been part of the syllabi for years and even decades. Secondary education contents are not included in the analysis since the teachers had completed various secondary schools and therefore the contents related to light phenomena also vary. The primary school contents were the basis for creating the task used in the study.

The students participating in the research had lessons of the subject Nature and Society according to previously used syllabi (introduced in the period 2006-2010). Therefore, the subsequent analysis refers to these past school syllabi. Light phenomena were introduced in the first grade of the primary school, predominantly relating to sunlight (and heat) as the source of all life on earth. Considering light as a physical phenomenon, the notions of day and night, as well as the notion of shadow, i.e. the relation of the shape and size of the shadow with the shape and size of the object that produces it, were already present in the first grade syllabus (Curriculum for Primary school – World around us, 2010). In the second grade contents, the Sun was more clearly identified as the source of light (but without further analysis of other, natural and artificial sources of light) and its position as an indicator of the specific time of day (Curriculum for Primary school – World around us, 2010). It was only the fourth grade syllabus that offered a deeper analysis of the above contents by considering the consequences of varying factors that influenced the size of a shadow, as well as by exploring light penetration of different materials (Curriculum for Primary school – Nature and Society, 2006).

Physics subject lessons scarcely covered light phenomena, focusing mostly on learning and teaching the rectilinear propagation of light in order to make students understand the following:

- Difference between the nature of light as a wave and the nature of sound as a mechanical wave;
- Difference between light sources and the difference between a shadow and a half-shadow;
- An eclipse of the Sun and the Moon.

## METHOD

*The subject of the research.* In both children and adults, knowledge related to natural phenomena is created through the interaction with the environment and through social interaction (with adults and peers), as well as through formal and informal learning situations. Along with scientifically accepted understanding of a natural phenomenon, there is very often a certain number of misconceptions, erroneous or non-universal understanding of the phenomenon held in the cognitive structure. One of the main roles of the educational system is to identify these misconceptions and help students overcome them. This enables the creation of a coherent system of scientific notions, both in a specific field and the world in general. In order to achieve this, it is necessary that teachers hold correct scientific ideas (not misconceptions) about the contents they teach. Earlier research has shown that some misconceptions are common to both students and teachers (Kaltakci & Eryılmaz, 2007; Kruger, 1990; Metioui & Trudel, 2012).

*The aim of the research* is to identify the frequency of various misconceptions related to light phenomena in fourth-grade students and primary school class teachers.

*The research questions* addressed in the survey are the following:

Which scientific facts related to light and shadow are best and worst understood, i.e. cause misconceptions in participants;

To what extent does the presence of misconceptions about light and shadow decrease with the age;

Are the most frequent misconceptions on light phenomena common to both groups of participants?

Our starting hypothesis is that misconceptions pertaining to light phenomena are present in both groups of participants, but are more common among students. We expect that students show difficulties in understanding certain aspects about light and shadow that are common for their age, as reported in previous research (Castro & Rodriguez, 2014; Pine, Messer, & John, 2001). We also expect that those aspects of light phenomena that cause most difficulties to the students are problematic to the teachers to some extent.

*The instrument description.* The data on the students' misconceptions related to light phenomena were gathered through their responses to an illustrative task

created in such a way as to indicate the students' understanding of light and shadow, i.e. misconceptions related to them.

The task is an adapted version of a simpler task *Being Shadowed* published in *Learn Science! for grades K-2* by © Dorling Kindersley Limited (Figure 1). The students are expected to draw a shadow of the girl. The adapted version of the task includes the cardinal directions (E-East, W-West) and some additional information and instructions that make this task more complex than its original version.



**Figure 1.** Original illustrative task about shadows  
(Learn Science! for grades K-2 by © Dorling Kindersley Limited)

The textual part of the task is the following: It is 3.00 pm. Draw the girl's shadow using a solid line. Then using a dashed line draw the position of the Sun and the girl's shadow two hours later (at 5.00 pm).

The first part of the task checks if the participants understand that:

- 1) the light propagates rectilinearly;
- 2) the shadow is a non-lighted space on the opposite side of the opaque object in relation to the light source. As the object is opaque, the shadow has no colour and the details on the object are not visible on it. The shadow becomes visible only when it falls on the surface;
- 3) the shadow shape depends on the object shape;
- 4) the shadow is formed from the very object (it is bound to it);

5) the shadow position depends on the position of the light source.

In this task the students could use the tree shadow as an orientation to determine the shadow position (and not the position of the light source, i.e. the Sun. The second part of the task is therefore very important (Figure 2), as it asks the students to mark the new shadow position (and the Sun) two hours later, i.e. after the Sun moves to the west. Drawing of the second position of the shadow indicates:

- 1) Whether the participants understand how the position of the Sun changes during the day (from the east to the west in a semicircular path, reaching the highest position at noon and the lowest when it rises and sets);
- 2) Whether the participants understand how the length of a shadow depends on the position of the light source (how far the object is from the light source and/or at what angle light beams falls on the object).



**Figure 2.** Example of correctly completed adapted task on shadows

The third, fourth and fifth items referring to the first part of the task, as well as both of the two items relating to the second part of the task, are integrated in the syllabi of the subjects World around us and Nature and Society. The first item is part of Physics syllabus, while the second one (the shadow has no colour and the details observable on the object are not visible on it, as the shadow becomes

visible only when it falls on the surface) is considered to be experiential knowledge. We therefore expect that both students and teachers do well in this part, although research on misconceptions related to light and shadows suggests that they are present in participants of all ages – from preschool children to adult professionals working as teachers.

*Research methods, techniques and instruments.* Considering the aim and the subject of the present study, this research can be categorized as descriptive research as it focuses on analyzing and understanding the current state in educational practice. The technique of testing is applied in order to detect the presence of alternative conceptions in students finishing the first cycle of primary education and in class teachers who work with students of that age.

Although there are many tests on students' misconceptions related to natural phenomena, including light (Metioui & Trudel, 2012), there is no standardized test for this age that assesses misconceptions on the light phenomena taught within the subjects World around us/Nature and Society. For this reason, the task described above was created. The task is based on the content of the syllabi of the subjects World around us/Nature and Society, and at the same time includes typical misconceptions on the light phenomena discussed above (Pine, Messer, & John, 2001; Smolleck & Hershberger, 2011). The task, therefore, belongs to the category of criterion-referenced tests.

*The sample* includes 817 participants – 662 fourth-graders and 155 class teachers.

*Data processing.* Qualitative data analysis was applied and it was additionally supported by simple statistical analyses (frequency and percentages of the participants' responses) that indicate the presence of alternative understanding of light phenomena.

## RESULTS

The data analysis is presented in two sections. The first section refers to the shape of the shadow and the second one presents the results of its position and size in relation to the light source. The analysis of the participants' drawings included three categories of responses – correct, incorrect, and the task is incomplete or does not clearly show what the drawing represents. In all segments of the task there was a large number of responses that fell within the third category, and this was particularly observable in the teachers' responses. As we could not know whether a participant did not complete the task because they did not know how to do it or had a lack of motivation, we could not classify these responses as incorrect. An unclear drawing could also be a parameter for both understanding and non-understanding of the task. Considering this, the quantitative analysis was applied only to correct responses, as we wanted to determine the number of participants who clearly showed good understanding of a certain aspect of light (and shadow).

The analysis of the shadow shape is firstly presented, as we consider it to be simpler for understanding than understanding the shadow position and size. The simplicity of this task is also reflected in the fact that the relation between the shape of an object and its shadow is taught in the first grade of primary school. The other two aspects (the shadow does not include details observable on the object, in our example these may refer to the eyes, mouth or some details on the clothes, and there is a relationship between the shadow and the object on a specific surface) are not explicitly taught in school, but are experientially confirmed in every-day situations. The analyzed data suggest that the shadow shape does not represent a problem to approximately two thirds of fourth-graders (from 60.42% to 68.58%) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Object shape and shadow shape

	<b>Shadow corresponds to the object shape <i>f</i> (%)</b>	<b>Shadow has no details <i>f</i> (%)</b>	<b>There is a relation between the shadow and the object <i>f</i> (%)</b>
Students	409 (61.78%)	400 (60.42%)	454 (68.58%)
Teachers	75 (48.39%)	39 (25.16%)	86 (55.48%)

Although at first look it seems surprising that there is a lower number of teachers who correctly drew the shadow shape, the analysis of incorrectly



completed drawings actually shows that none of the teachers drew a shadow that did not correspond to the object shape nor added details to it (eyes, mouth, buttons etc.), and only four teachers (2.58%) drew a shadow separated from the object. A large number of drawings could not be assessed, as the teachers mostly marked only the shadow position with a line, making it impossible to assess the segments of the shadow shape. Although the results of the teachers' responses are weaker than the students' results, we can still assume that the teachers generally have no dilemmas considering the issue of the shadow shape, as most of their students do not show any dilemmas considering this issue.

As for the shadow position, there are also three aspects included in its analysis – determining the Sun position two hours later, the shadow position in relation to the light source and the change in the shadow size and position two hours later. The collected data are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** The Sun position and the shadow position and size

	<b>Adequate Sun position</b> <i>f</i> (%)	<b>Adequate shadow position in relation to light source</b> <i>f</i> (%)	<b>Adequate shadow position in relation to light source two hours later</b> <i>f</i> (%)	<b>Adequate shadow size two hours later</b> <i>f</i> (%)
Students	190 (28.70%)	372 (56.19%)	81 (12.23%)	99 (14.59%)
Teachers	53 (34.19%)	100 (64.52%)	60 (38.71%)	60 (38.71%)

Although the Sun movement during the daytime is taught in the subject Nature and Society (parts of daytime, orientation by cardinal directions and in nature), less than a third of the students show that they understand the Sun's path from the east to the west and that it lowers on the horizon as it gets late. Almost the same number of students incorrectly marked the position of the Sun, and more than 40% of them did not even try to do this part of the task. When this number is compared to the previous segments of the shadow shape, it is noticed that a considerably higher number of students (approximately twice to four times larger) did not try to complete this part of the task. This most likely implies that more students hold misconceptions, i.e. non-understanding of the apparent change in the Sun position during the daylight. What seems to be more alarming, however, is that almost identical percentage of teachers (27.74%) hold the same misconception, i.e. uncertainty, and only slightly more than a third of the teachers could correctly mark the Sun's position two hours later. As in the case

with students, it can be assumed that among the teachers who did not draw the new position of the Sun there were those who avoided it as they were not sure about the answer. The assumption is, therefore, that the real number of teachers with incorrect knowledge on the changing Sun position is greater than 27.74%.

The shadow position is a more complex task, as it implies thinking not only about the shadow, but also about the light source causing the shadow and its effect on the change of the shadow. It is, therefore, a dynamic set of changes that is quite demanding for understanding and drawing, but this represents part of the fourth-grade syllabus of the subject Nature and Society. Our findings indicate that more than half of the students (56.19%) and teachers (64.52%) understand that the shadow is created opposite to the opaque object and that its position depends on the position of the light source. The findings are in line with the views of British primary science teachers who consider this segment to be of above average difficulty ( $M=3.36$ , on the scale 1 to 5, with 1 denoting very easy and 5 denoting very difficult) in terms of difficulty for children of this age (Pine, Messer & John, 2001). As expected, there is a decline in the participants' responses when another factor is added in this task situation, and that is the change in the position of the light source. The number of students who correctly completed this part of the task is more than four times lower than in the previous case. A decline is also observable in the teachers' responses, but it is not as dramatic as in the case with the students (from 64.52% to 38.71%). The reason for these differences in participants' responses may be found in the very concept of the task, as in the first part of the task the participants could use the already given shadow of the tree as orientation for determining the position of the shadow (and not the position of the light source, i.e. the Sun). When the results of the shadow position two hours later are compared with the results referring to the shadow size after the same period of time, identical or almost identical findings are observed. Slightly more than a third of the teachers (38.71%) correctly completed the part of the task on the relation between the change in the shadow position and size and the change in the position of the light source. As almost two thirds of the teachers could not provide the correct answer here, it is not surprising that just above 10% of the students were able to do it (12.23%, i.e. 14.59%). This segment of the obtained results clearly demonstrates to what extent the teacher's (non)understanding of a scientific notion affects the students' understanding, in spite of the fact that the notion is explained in the coursebook.

Although, as was expected, the obtained results indicate that the teachers show a better understanding of light phenomena than the students, the present findings point to a number of the questions. The first of these is certainly how teachers can plan and create lessons on contents that they have dilemmas and/or misconceptions about. Does it mean that this situation (and teachers' awareness of the problem) imposes the use of the most ordinary and "most secure" way of teaching – receptive teaching, i.e. retelling the contents from the book, and consequently, asking students just to reproduce definitions when assessing their knowledge. Nevertheless, the responsibility for students' misconceptions should not be placed only on the teachers and their incomplete knowledge of the contents they teach. The reasons are numerous and apart from teachers, they include the students' personal experiences, coursebooks, and language used (Kaltakci & Eryilmaz, 2007). As one of the reasons for widespread misconceptions about light phenomena, Metioui and Trudel (2012) point to inadequate personal experiences as a consequence of our life surroundings full of artificial lightning, often generating blurred shadows, which leaves us without an opportunity to clearly perceive the nature of light, its rectilinear propagation and various shadow manifestations.

## CONCLUSION

As already stated, there has been growing interest among researchers in identifying the sources and various types of misconceptions, as well as creating adequate pedagogical approaches, and more and more educators point out the importance of initiating science education at an early age. A study expressing the key role of teachers on the formation of scientific notions about light (Rodriguez & Castro, 2016) highlights the importance of good preschool and primary school class teachers' education and their professional development in sciences. The issue of whether students' misconceptions and their treatment in educational practice should become part of formal education of teachers might be raised by the following findings of this survey:

- Teachers mostly show no dilemma regarding the shadow shape, and the same holds good for approximately two thirds of fourth-graders.

- The majority of students show a misconception related to the apparent change of the Sun position during the daylight, and surprisingly the same refers to 27.74% of teachers.
- More than half of students and teachers understand that the shadow is formed opposite to the opaque object, i.e. its position depends on the position of the light source.
- There is a decrease in the participants' performance when an additional factor – the change in the position of the light source – is introduced in the task.
- More than a third of the teachers correctly completed part of the task referring to the relation between the change in the shadow position and size and the change of the light source.

As concluded by Antić and Pešikan (2015), science education plays a developmental and formative role and therefore it is worth investing effort in raising the quality of science teaching and learning. Analyzing it *from the student's point of view*, these authors suggest that it is first necessary to assess the students' prior knowledge and then encourage the basic functional literacy and reading comprehension skills as part of it. It is necessary to encourage the students' thinking skills by developing metacognitive strategies and basic concepts in understanding science. If there is a lack of basic concepts in the curriculum, this may be an impediment for a deeper understanding of science. Finally, it is necessary to include research practice within the school context and local community, i.e. within the social and cultural milieu of the students. It is important to build knowledge and not to aim at replacing one notion by another, using (verbalized) definitions and explanations, as it may happen that children hold scientific explanations while they are at school and maintain alternative views when out of school, i.e. in everyday situations. The awareness of one's own knowledge, ideas and concepts is a prerequisite for any concept change (Marušić Jablanović & Blagdanić, 2019). The change of a concept, i.e. the path from a misconception to scientific notion is context-dependent and unstable. Long-lasting and stable changes occur when the students recognize relevant common characteristics of a concept in various contexts, i.e. when the concept becomes generalized within various contexts (Gunstone & Mitchell, 1998). When it comes to teachers, the first step is to analyze their own knowledge and attempt to improve it (Miščević, 2006). It is particularly important that teachers are familiar with children's typical misconceptions related

to natural phenomena. These misconceptions or half-truths containing knowledge acceptable in certain contexts but not universally correct, should not be perceived as a negative phenomenon, but as an important indicator in planning the teaching process (Marušić Jablanović & Blagdanić, 2019). In planning the teaching process aimed at correcting students' misconceptions, teachers should consider that students will accept new concept meanings only if they are not satisfied with the existing meanings, i.e. if they are unable to explain a certain problem by existing ideas. The new meaning needs to be "fruitful" in order to be accepted, in other words it has to serve as a solution to a current problem and some other problem in the future (Allen, 2011). Teachers should have an insight into the most frequent and typical misconceptions of students in order to identify them and adequately react to them. At the same time, they should possess a large repertoire of teaching methods that enable them to assess which of them is most suitable in a particular situation so that neither knowledge nor misconceptions remain "in shadow".

## REFERENCES



- 📁 Akerson, V. (2019). Teaching and learning science in early childhood care and education. In P. Brown, B. McMullen, & N. File (Eds.), *The Wiley handbook of early childhood care and education* (pp. 355-375). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- 📁 Allen, M. (2010). *Misconceptions in Primary Science*. New York: Open University Press.
- 📁 Antić, S. (2007). Zablude u znanju koje ostaju uprkos školskom učenju [Misconceptions persistant despite school studying]. *Zbornik Instituta za pedagoška istraživanja*, 39(1), 48–68.
- 📁 Antić, S., & Pešikan, A. (2015). Naučna pismenost i socio-konstruktivistička perspektiva. *Psihološka istraživanja*, 18(1), 99-119.
- 📁 Boyes, E., & Stanisstreet, M. (1991). Development of Pupils' Ideas about Seeing and Hearing □ the path of light and sound. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 9(2), 223-244.
- 📁 Castro, D., & Rodriguez, J. (2014). 8-9 year old pupils' mental representations of light: teaching perspectives. *Journal of Advances in Natural Sciences*, 2(1), 40-44.
- 📁 Curriculum for Primary school – World around us (2010). Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, Education Gazette, 7, 28-31.
- 📁 Curriculum for Primary school – Nature and Society (2006). Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia, Education Gazette, 3, 43-47.
- 📁 Delserieys, A., Impedovo, M. A., Fragkiadaki, G., & Kampeza, M. (2016). Using drawings to explore preschool children's ideas about shadow formation. *Review of Science, Mathematics and ICT Education*, 11(1), 55-69
- 📁 Grigorovitch, A. (2014). Children's misconceptions and conceptual change in Physics Education: the concept of light. *Journal: Journal of advances in natural sciences*, 1(1), 34-39.

- Grigorovitch, A. (2015). Teaching optics perspectives: 10-11 year old pupils' representations of light. *International Education & Research Journal*, 1(3), 4-6.
- Gunstone, F. R., & Mitchell, J. I. (1998). Metacognition and Conceptual Change. In J. J. Mintzes, H. J. Wandersee & J. D. Novak (Eds.), *Teaching Science for Understanding* (pp.134-164). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Hsu, C. Y., Tsai, C. C., & Liang, J. C. (2011). Facilitating preschoolers' scientific knowledge construction via computer games regarding light and shadow: The effect of the prediction-observation-explanation (POE) strategy. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 20(5), 482-493.
- Ilić, M. (2013). Pedagoške implikacije Pijažeove teorije intelektualnog razvoja za nastavu i učenje [Pedagogical implications of Piaget's theory of intellectual development for teaching and learning]. *Inovacije u nastavi - časopis za savremenu nastavu*, 26(4), 100-113.
- Kaltakci, D., & Erylmaz, A. (2007). Identifying pre-service physics teachers' misconceptions with three-tier tests. AIP Conference Proceedings, Volume 899, Issue 1. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2733255>.
- Kruger, C. (1990). Some primary teachers' ideas about energy. *Physics Education*, 25(86), 86-91.
- Lindstrand, A. E., Hansson, L., Olsson, R., & Ljung-Djårf, A. (2016). Playful Learning about Light and Shadow: A Learning Study Project in Early Childhood Education. *Creative Education*, 7, 333-348.
- Marušić Jablanović, M., & Blagdanić, S. (2019). *Kada naučno postane naučeno: prirodno-naučno opismenjavanje u teoriji, istraživanjima i nastavnoj praksi [From science learning to science mastery – scientific literacy in theory, research and teaching practice]*. Beograd: Učiteljski fakultet: Institut za pedagoška istraživanja.
- Metioui, A., & Trudel, L. (2012). The Model of the Rectilinear Propagation of Light and the Study of the Variation of the Size of a Shadow. *US-China Education Review*, B2, 173-186.
- Miščević, G. (2006). Kvalitet znanja budućih učitelja o osnovnim astronomskim pojavama [The quality of knowledge of future teachers about basic astronomical phenomena]. *Nastava i vaspitanje*, 55(3), 318-329.
- Muratović, M. (2015). Pristup konceptualne promene u nastavi fizike [Approach to conceptual change in physics teaching]. *Nastava i vaspitanje*, 64(3), 573-583.
- Pijaže, Ž. (2002). Učenje i razvoj [Learning and development]. U Lj. Miočinović (prir.), *Pijažeova teorija intelektualnog razvoja* (171–184). Beograd: Institut za pedagoška istraživanja.
- Pine, K., Messer, D., & John, K. (2001). Children's Misconceptions in Primary Science: a survey of teachers' views. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 19(1), 79-96.
- Rodriguez, J., & Castro, D. (2016). Changing 8-9 year-old pupil's mental representations of light: a metaphor based teaching approach. *Asian Education Studies*, 1(1), 41.
- Şahin, Ç., İpek, H., & Ayas, A. (2008, June). Students' understanding of light concepts primary school: A cross-age study. In Asia-Pacific Forum on Science learning and teaching, Vol. 9, No. 1 (pp. 1-19). The Education University of Hong Kong, Department of Science and Environmental Studies.
- Smolleck, L. & Hershberger, V. (2011). Playing with Science: An Investigation of Young Children's Science Conceptions and Misconceptions. *Current Issues in Education*, 14 (1), 1-32.
- Stein, M., Larrabee, T., & Barman, C. (2008). A study of common beliefs and mis-conceptions in physical science. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 1- 11.

- ☞ Taşkın, T., & Kandil Ingeç, Ş. (2019). Prospective Physics Teachers' Understanding of the Speed of Light within the Scope of Special Relativity. *International Journal of Physics & Chemistry Education*, 11(2), 45-53. Retrieved from <http://www.ijpce.org/index.php/IJPCE/article/view/40>
- ☞ Türkmen, H. (2015). After almost half-century landing on the moon and still countering basic astronomy conceptions. *European Journal of Physics Education*, 6(2), 1.
- ☞ Usta, N. D., Ültay, E., & Ültay, N. (2020). Reading the concept map of physics teacher candidates: a case of light. *Science Education International*, 31(1), 14–21.
- ☞ Vigotski, L. (1996). *Problemi opšte psihologije*. Beograd: Zavod za udžbenike i nastavna sredstva.
- ☞ Yilmaz, G., & Bulunuz, M. (2019). Evaluating the effect of formative assessment based learning on student's understanding regarding basic astronomical concepts. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 6(5), 212-235

# AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHIES

---





### **Karina AVAGYAN**

PhD, is a linguist, Russian language teacher and translator, Center for Russian Studies, Faculty of Political Science, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: cognitive linguistics, ethnic stereotype, contrastive analysis, conceptualisation, associative experiment.

E-mail: karinka2576@mail.ru

### **Sanja BLAGDANIĆ**

PhD, associate Professor of natural and social sciences teaching methodology and vice-dean for Scientific research at the Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade. Her fields of research are: science and history teaching in primary education, pupils' misconceptions, and science literacy.

E-mail: sanja.blagdanic@uf.bg.ac.rs.

### **Marija BOŠNJAK STEPANOVIĆ**

PhD in early science education, associate professor at the Faculty of Education in Sombor, Serbia. Her fields of research are: inquiry-based learning, project-based learning, and science concept development.

E-mail: 96marija.bosnjak@gmail.com

### **Lidija BUKVIĆ BRANKOVIĆ**

MA, is a defectologist, PhD student at the University of Belgrade – Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, Serbia. Her fields of research are: problem behaviour prevention, positive youth development, protective and risk factors in schools.

E-mail: lidija\_bukvic@yahoo.com

### **Ariunsanaa BYAMBAA**

PhD, is a microbiologist and a pedagogist, professor of the Department of Microbiology, School of Bio-Medicine, Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatarm Mongolia. Her field of research is qualitative methodology in educational research.

E-mail: ariunsanaa.b@mnums.edu.mn.

### **Sonja ČOTAR KONRAD**

PhD, is a psychologist, associate professor of Psychology at the University of Primorska, Faculty of Education, Koper, Slovenia. Her fields of research are ICT in education, university teaching, teacher competence, and development of preschool children.

E-mail: sonja.cotarkonrad@upr.si

### **Ivana ĐERIĆ**

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her research interests are: reflexive practice in professional learning, project-based learning, student motivation and autonomy, and qualitative methodology in educational research.

E-mail: ivana.brestiv@gmail.com

### **Jelena ĐERMANOV**

PhD, associate professor of pedagogy, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Philosophy, Department of Pedagogy, Serbia. Her fields of research are General and School pedagogy, Pedagogical Axiology (evaluation in education, interactions, communication and interpersonal relations in education, hidden curriculum, class and school climate, school culture).

E-mail: jdjer@ff.uns.ac.rs

### **Rajka ĐEVIĆ**

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: inclusive education, social relationships of students with developmental disabilities, teacher professional development, teaching methods.

E-mail: rajkadjevic@gmail.com

### **Maia GELASHVILI**

is a PhD student and research assistant at the Centre for International Higher Education, Boston College, USA. Her fields of research are quality assurance of higher education, international and comparative education, college teaching and assessment.

E-mail: gelashvi@bc.edu

### **Batbaatar GUNCHIN**

Academician Member of Mongolian Academy of Medical Sciences, Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Medicine; Vice president for Academic Affairs at the Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences; President of Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. His fields of research are: education development, reference value of physiology, biochemistry, immunology in Mongols, improving medical service by advancing pre-graduate study for fundamental and medical microbiology for medical students and by updating residents and medical doctors in Mongolia.

E-mail: batbaatar@mnums.edu.mn

### **Nikoleta GUTVAJN**

PhD, senior research associate and director of the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: identity, school underachievement, and qualitative methodology in educational research.

E-mail: gutvajnnikoleta@gmail.com

### **Ljeposava ILIJIC**

PhD, is a special education teacher, research fellow at the Institute of Criminological and Sociological research. Her fields of interest are a focus on criminological and penological issues, the problems of execution of the prison sentence, treatment and convicts, education and professional training of prisoners, and social reintegration of ex-offenders.

Email: lelalela\_bgd@yahoo.com

### **Tijana JOKIC ZORKIC**

psychologist, is a PhD student and a researcher at the Centre for Education Policy, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are inclusion and diversity in education, appropriation of education policy, qualitative methodology in educational research.

E-mail: tijana.zjokic@gmail.com

### **Sergey KOKHAN**

Candidate of Medical Sciences, Associate Professor, director of the Regional Center for Inclusive Education, Transbaikal State University, Chita, Russia. His

fields of research are: inclusive education, psychological and pedagogical support of students with disabilities, the development of socio-cultural capabilities and adaptive sports, modern aspects of medical and social rehabilitation.

E-mail: ispsmed@mail.ru

### **Isidora KORAC**

PhD in Pedagogy and PhD in Teaching Methodology. Professor in the scientific field: Pedagogical and Didactic group of subjects at Preschool Teacher Training and Business Informatics College of Applied Studies Sirmium, Sremska Mitrovica, Serbia. Her fields of research are: school and preschool teacher's professional development, class/school and preschool climate, and aesthetic education.

E-mail: oisidora@gmail.com

### **Marina KOVAČEVIĆ LEPOJEVIĆ**

PhD, is a special education teacher, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. She participates in research projects related to students' behavioral problems, positive youth development, socioemotional learning, school, and family climate.

Email: marina.lepojevic@gmail.com

### **Witold KOWALSKI**

Professor WSG: The University of Economics in Bydgoszcz. The fields of his research are: the introduction of health-saving technologies among the younger generation and student youth, especially recreational opportunities that contribute to human longevity.

E-mail: wiciukow@interia.pl

### **Jason LAKER**

PhD, is a professor of counselor education at San José State University, California, USA; and Affiliated Research Faculty with the Center for Research and Education on Gender and Sexuality at San Francisco State University. His fields of research are: international and comparative higher education studies, counseling, student psychosocial development and support programs, and gender studies.

E-mail: jlaker.sjsu@gmail.com

### **Emilija LAZAREVIĆ**

PhD, is a defectologist speech therapist and defectologist for Education and Rehabilitation Hearing Disability Persons, Principal Research Fellow, Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: speech-language development, speech-language disorders, early literacy development, reading and writing disorders, specific learning disabilities.

E-mail: elazarevic@ipi.ac.rs

### **Dušica MALINIĆ**

is a research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. She has a PhD in education from the University of Belgrade. Her research focus is the causes of students' academic failure, teachers' pedagogical and methodical competence, and leadership in education.

E-mail: malinic.dusica@gmail.com

### **Marija MALJKOVIĆ**

PhD, is a special education teacher, Assistant professor at the University of Belgrade – Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation. Her interests are focused on the fields of special education and rehabilitation, treatment of juvenile delinquents, systemic family therapy, addiction, and behavioral disorders.

Email: mara.maljkovic@gmail.com

### **Milica MARUŠIĆ JABLANOVIĆ**

is a psychologist and doctor of andragogy, senior research associate employed at the Institute of Educational Research in Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research interest are teacher education and career development, personal values, scientific and environmental education and literacy.

E-mail millica13@yahoo.com, milica.m.jablanovic@gmail.com

### **Olga MIKHAILOVA**

PhD, Assistant Professor of the Department of Psychology and Pedagogy, Faculty of Philology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow, Russia. Her fields of research are: personality development psychology, psychology of innovation, acmeology and adragogy.

E-mail: olga00241@yandex.ru; mikhaylova-ob@rudn.ru

### **Mihaylo MILOVANOVITCH**

is senior policy specialist for system change and lifelong learning with the European Training Foundation, Italy, and a pro-bono affiliate and education integrity expert for the Center for Applied Policy and Integrity, Bulgaria. His current work and publications focus on policy appropriation experiences in education, integrity of education policy and practice, and stakeholder-driven education policy improvement in countries of Eastern Europe, Central Asia and Northern Africa.

Email: mihaylo@policycenters.org

### **Snežana MIRKOV**

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of interest are: different aspects of the learning process in academic settings (learning goals, learning strategies, self-regulation, epistemological beliefs), and their relations with the learning effects achieved in the teaching process.

E-mail: smirkov@ipi.ac.rs

### **Gordana MIŠČEVIĆ**

PhD, is a full professor in the field of social, environmental and scientific education (SESE) teaching methodology at the Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: environmental education methodology, primary school teacher education (elementary science), preschool teacher education (elementary science), innovative models of work with children in the field of in elementary science, development of pupils' metacognition.

E-mail: gordana.miscevic@uf.bg.ac.rs

### **Kornelija MRNJAUS**

PhD, is associate professor at the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Department of Education, Rijeka, Croatia. Her fields of research are: vocational education and training, career counseling, values education, and intercultural education.

E-mail: kornelija.mrnjaus@uniri.hr

### **Andreas OIKONOMOU**

PhD, is a psychologist, associate professor of the Department of Education at the School of Pedagogical and Technological Education, Thessaloniki, Greece. His fields of research are: educational psychology, developmental psychology, teacher education, environmental education.

E-mail: aoikonomou@aspete.gr

### **Kristinka OVESNI**

PhD, is an andragogist, full-time professor at the Department for Pedagogy and Andragogy, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: human resource development, theories of adult learning, professional development, adult education planning.

E-mail: kovesni@gmail.com; kovesni@f.bg.ac.rs

### **Jelena PAVLOVIĆ**

assistant professor at the Department of Psychology, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Belgrade. Research interests: learning and development in organizations, coaching psychology, qualitative research methods.

Email: jelena.pavlovic@f.bg.ac.rs

### **Branislava POPOVIĆ-ĆITIĆ**

PhD, is a special pedagogist, full professor at the University of Belgrade – Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, Serbia. Her fields of research are: prevention science, positive youth development and school-based prevention programs.

E-mail: popovb@eunet.rs

### **Vera RADOVIĆ**

PhD, is a pedagogist, associate professor at the Teacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: general didactics, professional education, and development of teachers.

E-mail: vera.radovic@uf.bg.ac.rs

**Elena ROMANOVA**

PhD, Associate professor in the Department of Physical Education, Altai State University, Russian Federation. Her fields of research are: Motivation of young people to engage in physical culture and sports, physical culture and sports at university, inclusive education, modern aspects of medical and social rehabilitation.

E-mail: romanovaev.2007@mail.ru

**Mile SRBINOVSKI**

PhD, Associate Professor, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Mother Teresa University, Skopje, Republic of North Macedonia. His fields of research are: environmental education, education for sustainability, ecology, environmental protection, biology education.

E-mail: mile.srbinovski@unt.edu.mk

**Jelena STANIŠIĆ**

PhD, is a pedagogist, research associate at the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. The fields of her research are: environmental education, science study, teaching methods, and learning strategies.

E-mail: jstanisic@ipi.ac.rs

**Jelena STEVANOVIĆ**

PhD, is a philologist, senior research associate in the Institute for Educational Research, Belgrade, Serbia. Her fields of research are: language culture/ language competence and functional literacy, Serbian language in primary and high school level, stylistics and orthography of Serbian language, critical literacy and theoretical and empirical research into textbooks.

E-mail: jelena.stevanovic.jelena@gmail.com

**Danijela ŠĆEPANOVIĆ**

PhD, is Education Policy Analyst and Education Technologist working on research and developmental projects in the area of digital education. She works at the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development in Serbia. She is an evaluation expert for the H2020 research program and member of the European Commission ET 2020 Working Groups



related to Digital Education development since 2014 - Digital and Online Learning (2013-2015), Digital Skills and Competences (2015-2017), Digital Education, Learning, Teaching and Assessment (2018-2020).

E-mail: danijela.scepanovic@mpn.gov.rs

### **Tina ŠTEMBERGER**

PhD, is a pedagogist, associate professor of Educational Research and a vice dean research at the University of Primorska, Faculty of Education, Koper, Slovenia. Her fields of research are educational research, alternative research methods, teacher competence, and inclusion.

E-mail: tina.stemberger@upr.si

### **Milja VUJAČIĆ**

PhD, is a pedagogist, senior research associate at the Institute for Educational Research. Her fields of research are: inclusive education, teacher professional development, cooperative learning, school effectiveness.

E-mail: mvujacic@ipi.ac.rs



### **Janja ŽMAVC**

PhD, is a linguist, research associate, and the head of the Centre for discourse studies in education at the Educational Research Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia. Her fields of research are: rhetoric, argumentation, classics, multilingualism, curriculum design, didactics, discourse in education.

E-mail: janja.zmavc@gmail.com

# AUTHORS' INDEX

---



## A

Abazi - 354, 357, 362  
Abbott - 375  
Abd-el-Khalick - 362  
Abel - 339  
Aczél - 77  
Adams - 142  
Agnew - 376  
Agyeman - 346  
Aizer - 375  
Ajzen - 339, 346  
Akerson - 38  
Aleahmad - 175  
Alexander - 375  
Alexandrova - 261  
Alkaff - 353  
Allen - 49  
Allman - 174  
Almeida - 65  
Almendarez - 27  
Ames - 297  
Ananiev - 319, 321, 325  
Anderson D.M. - 389  
Anderson J. - 236  
Anderson W.L. - 203  
Andryukhina - 259  
Antić - 36, 37, 48, 53  
Antonio - 176  
Arabatzi - 361  
Arba'at - 360  
Archer - 297  
Arnold - 135  
Arnon - 343  
Arthur - 396  
Ash - 119

Astratova - 259, 262  
Atman - 354  
Avalos - 63  
Avramović Z.- 95  
Avramović I.- 135  
Ax - 64  
Ayas - 38

## B

Baggaley - 238  
Bahar - 355, 373  
Bain - 201  
Bajaj - 299  
Bakken - 77  
Bales - 380  
Ball - 210  
Ballantyne - 343  
Banarjee - 277  
Bandura - 274, 287  
Banzragch - 238  
Banjari - 203  
Barcelona - 108  
Barke - 361  
Barman - 36  
Barnett - 54, 55  
Barnhart - 213  
Barraza - 353, 362  
Barron - 64, 65  
Barrows - 56  
Barthes - 74  
Bartlett - 210  
Bašić - 375  
Batinca - 212, 222  
Baumann - 119  
Bazić - 10

- Beara - 142, 151  
 Beavers - 174  
 Beers - 131  
 Beijgaard - 64  
 Belacchi - 119  
 Belawati - 238  
 Beletzan - 78  
 Benelli - 119, 120, 122, 129, 135  
 Benson - 396, 397, 398, 405, 406, 407,  
     409, 410, 411, 413  
 Beręsewicz - 213  
 Berg - 352  
 Bergdahl - 211, 212, 236, 237, 243  
 Berger - 65  
 Berglund - 396  
 Berk - 380  
 Berman - 131  
 Bernadette - 143  
 Betzer - 57  
 Biesta - 75, 92  
 Biggs - 296, 311  
 Binder - 119  
 Bishop A. - 119  
 Bishop K. - 352  
 Bizzell - 76  
 Bjerk - 377  
 Black - 65  
 Blagdanić - 36, 48, 49, 53  
 Blaikie - 361  
 Blake - 346  
 Blazar - 160  
 Blicck - 361  
 Blomberg - 380, 389  
 Blommaert - 212  
 Bloom - 131  
 Blumenfeld - 56, 57, 62, 65, 297  
 Blyth - 407, 409, 410, 411, 412  
 Bodenhorn - 353  
 Bodur - 173, 174, 175, 176, 177  
 Boekaerts - 274  
 Boeve - 361  
 Bogan - 352  
 Bogner - 343, 353  
 Boisvert - 297  
 Bolam - 141, 142  
 Bond - 211, 237  
 Bonsignore - 175  
 Booth - 74  
 Bordeleau - 297  
 Borisov - 320, 323  
 Borko - 64, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177  
 Borkowski - 273, 274  
 Bornstein - 131  
 Borzone - 131  
 Bostrom - 361  
 Bouffard - 297  
 Bouillet - 386  
 Boujaoude - 362  
 Bowen - 54  
 Box - 54  
 Boyes - 38  
 Bracken - 353  
 Bracy - 377, 380  
 Bradshaw - 387  
 Braten - 310  
 Braun A. - 210  
 Braun V. - 145  
 Bredl - 212  
 Breit - 173  
 Bridgstock - 289

- Brinkworth - 388  
 Bromley - 109  
 Brow - 260  
 Brown - 203, 327  
 Brownell - 119  
 Browning - 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29  
 Bruce - 57  
 Buchanan - 57  
 Bukvić - 124, 406, 407, 411  
 Bulatović - 275  
 Bullis - 389  
 Bulunuz - 38  
 Burke - 76  
 Burns - 110  
 Bushina - 338  
 Bushway - 374, 375, 380  
 Buško - 275, 286, 288  
 Butenko - 338  
 Butler - 274  
 Butterworth - 95  
 Buttran - 142, 154
- C, Č**
- Caena - 196  
 Cafaro - 342  
 Cain - 119  
 Calvert - 299  
 Cancino - 121  
 Carlson - 119  
 Carmi - 343  
 Carpenter - 175, 177, 212  
 Carr - 352, 375  
 Casotti - 54  
 Castro - 38, 40, 47  
 Catalano - 375, 396
- Celinska - 377  
 Cestnik - 81  
 Chalikias - 361  
 Chan - 298, 299, 362  
 Chen - 174  
 Cheng - 56  
 Cherdakli - 253  
 Chia - 55, 66  
 Chin - 55, 66  
 Choy - 56  
 Christensen - 135  
 Chu - 353  
 Churchill - 173  
 Clark - 61  
 Clarke - 145, 387  
 Coates - 203  
 Cochran-Smith - 200  
 Code - 274  
 Cohen - 26  
 Consiglio - 213  
 Conzemius - 32  
 Copas - 175  
 Coppola - 352  
 Crouse - 297, 299  
 Culen - 353  
 Cunningham - 289, 352  
 Cutri - 174  
 Cvetek - 200, 201, 202  
 Czerniak - 65  
 Čekić-Marković - 390  
 Čolić - 122
- D, Đ, Dž**
- Dainville - 76  
 Danisch - 76

Darling-Hammond - 63, 64, 65  
 Daudi - 352  
 Day - 25, 375  
 De Brabander - 297  
 Deci - 259  
 Dede - 173, 174, 176  
 De Houwer - 131  
 de Jong - 211  
 De Laet - 387  
 De La Paz - 57  
 De Lisi - 135  
 DeLisi - 377  
 Delserieys - 38  
 Denicolo - 159  
 Denny - 387  
 Dent - 274, 275, 276  
 De Temple - 121  
 Dewey - 29, 52  
 Dickson - 197  
 Dierkhising - 389  
 Dietz - 336, 339, 340  
 Dignath - 274  
 Dijkstra - 141, 142, 143  
 Dimitrijević - 97  
 Dimitriou - 344  
 Dimopoulos - 353  
 Dochy - 56  
 Dong - 212  
 Dowler - 274  
 Doyle - 375  
 Draganić-Gajić - 376  
 Dragičević - 97, 108, 109  
 Driscoll - 297  
 DuBois - 174, 175, 176, 177  
 Dubovicki - 203

Dubrovina - 259, 267  
 Duell - 297, 299  
 Dülmer - 339  
 Duncan - 278, 279  
 Dutcher - 342, 347  
 Dweck - 169, 287, 296, 297  
 Dziubani - 203  
 Dzobelova - 259  
 Đerić - 58, 59, 63, 64, 143, 151  
 Đermanov - 143  
 Đević - 64, 164  
 Đorđev - 107  
 Đorđević - 106  
 Đukić - 143  
 Džinović - 63, 64, 141, 160, 164

## E

Easter - 298  
 Eccles - 259, 388  
 Edwards S.I. - 57  
 Edwards O.W. - 398  
 Efremov - 252  
 Elliot - 290  
 Elliott - 375, 377  
 Enger - 352  
 English - 64, 99, 122  
 Entwisle - 375  
 Entwistle - 295, 311  
 Erdogan - 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 360,  
     361, 363, 373  
 Erickson - 174  
 Erylmaz - 40, 47

## F

Fagan - 377

- Faherty - 237  
 Farley - 387  
 Farley Ripple - 142, 154  
 Farrington - 375, 388  
 Fauning - 132  
 Feather - 336  
 Fenning - 375  
 Fernandez-Ramirez - 203  
 Ferry - 76  
 Fien - 343  
 Filippatou - 57  
 Finley - 342  
 Fischer - 175, 176  
 Fishbein - 339, 346  
 Fishman - 69, 174, 175  
 Fitzgerald - 336  
 Fontanieu - 361  
 Forde - 197  
 Fors - 237  
 Fox B. - 173  
 Fox R.A. - 296  
 Fragkiadaki - 38  
 Fraser - 55  
 Freelon - 222  
 Friedman - 134  
 Fullan - 67, 160  
 Furlong - 387
- G**
- Gabler - 78  
 Galichin - 321, 323  
 Galyardt - 175  
 Gao - 296  
 Garb - 343, 353  
 Garcia - 274, 275, 288  
 Gariglietti - 299  
 Garrison - 353  
 Geier - 57  
 Gelman - 95  
 Gendenjamts - 238  
 Georgopoulos - 344  
 Geyer - 203  
 Ghazali - 339  
 Gijbels - 55, 56  
 Gillis - 131  
 Gini - 119  
 Given - 142  
 Glassett - 175  
 Gojkov - 53  
 Goldkind - 389  
 Goldman - 353  
 Goldstein - 168  
 Golinkoff - 190  
 Golley - 353  
 Golub - 262  
 Gonzales - 174  
 Gonzalez - 121  
 Gonzalez Cabanah - 296, 297  
 Gorard - 110  
 Gordeeva - 261, 262, 264, 265  
 Gottfredson - 375, 377, 388, 389  
 Gouveia - 78  
 Govaris - 57  
 Govekar Okoliš - 204  
 Grant - 61, 160  
 Green - 160  
 Greenhalgh - 177  
 Gregory - 259  
 Greiml-Fuhrmann - 203  
 Grey - 342

Grigorovitch - 38  
Griller Clark - 389  
Gromkova - 318, 325  
Groot - 375  
Gruber - 203  
Grue - 77  
Guagnano - 339  
Gudmundsdottir - 211, 212  
Gunstone - 48  
Gunter - 387  
Guskey - 160, 163

## H

Hadwin - 274  
Hakes - 119  
Halverson - 154  
Hansen - 175  
Hansson - 38  
Hargadon - 175  
Hargreaves - 61, 67  
Harlan - 57  
Harlen - 54, 55  
Harlow - 380  
Harris J.M. - 62  
Harris P.R. - 361  
Hart - 361  
Hartman - 203  
Harvey - 61, 63, 260  
Hasani - 357, 360  
Hathaway - 211, 212  
Hattie - 311  
Havel - 389  
Hawkins - 375, 396  
Hebib - 177  
Heckhausen H. - 324  
Heckhausen J. - 261, 323  
Hee - 353  
Henny - 31  
Henriksen - 126, 134  
Hernandez-Ramos - 57  
Herriman - 119  
Hershberger - 43  
Herz - 389  
Herzberg - 76  
Hewitt - 377  
Hill - 203  
Hillman - 212  
Hines - 354, 361, 362, 363  
Hirsch - 389  
Hirschfield - 377, 391  
Hirschi - 323  
Hirsh-Pasek - 190  
Hjalmarsson - 375  
Hodges - 211, 212, 237  
Hofer - 297, 298  
Hoff - 120  
Hoffman - 143  
Hofman - 141, 142  
Hofstede - 338  
Hogan - 160  
Holmberg - 237  
Holmes-Henderson - 77  
Holzer - 362  
Hord - 141, 142  
Horsey - 375  
Houle - 54  
Howe - 143  
Hoyle - 297  
Hsu - 38, 353  
Hu - 174



Huberman - 160, 163  
 Huddleston - 175  
 Huei-Min - 352  
 Hugenford - 343  
 Huizinga - 377  
 Hungerford - 353, 354, 361, 362, 363  
 Hunniger - 212  
 Hunt - 28  
 Hutter - 297, 299

## I

Idrizi - 357  
 Iermakov - 237  
 Igbokwe - 353  
 Ilić M. - 36  
 Ilić P. - 104  
 Ilić Z. - 375, 376  
 Ilyin - 322, 323, 325  
 Impedovo - 38  
 Inglehart - 338, 339, 345  
 Inhelder - 127  
 Ipek - 38  
 Ismaili - 354, 357, 358, 362  
 Ivanov - 237  
 Ivić - 53, 124  
 Ivković - 97

## J

Jack - 387  
 Jackson L.W. - 27, 28  
 Jackson M. - 202, 206  
 Jacobs - 173, 174, 175, 176, 177  
 Jagaiah - 131  
 Jahng - 176  
 Jakšić M. - 289

Jakšić I. - 298  
 Jamieson-Noel - 274  
 Jank - 84  
 Jansen - 274  
 Janjić - 97  
 Jass Ketelhut - 173  
 Javornik Krečič - 205  
 Jenkins - 119  
 Jenlink - 63  
 Jensen - 56, 212  
 Jenson - 387, 388  
 Jerotijević - 390  
 Jianping - 335  
 Joaguin - 325  
 Johansson - 382  
 John - 30, 37, 40, 43, 46, 138  
 Johnson - 174, 342  
 Johnston - 323  
 Jokić - 54, 55, 65, 308  
 Joksimović - 289  
 Jones - 134  
 Jonuzi - 357  
 Jošić - 143  
 Jovanović - 143, 390  
 Joyce - 161, 170

## K

Kaldahl - 76  
 Kaldi - 57  
 Kalof - 339  
 Kaltakci - 40, 47  
 Kame'enui - 119  
 Kampeza - 38  
 Kandil Ingeç - 37  
 Kanfer - 324

- Kanselaar - 297  
Karabenick - 274  
Karaçalli - 57  
Karimzadegan - 353  
Karlberg - 213  
Karyanto - 360, 361  
Kašić - 119, 131  
Kayalvizhi - 66  
Kearns - 131  
Keles - 353  
Kelly - 71, 176  
Kett - 380  
Khawaja - 362  
Khoshaba - 260  
Kilpatrick - 53  
Kim - 135  
Kimmons - 174, 212  
King - 32, 173, 203  
Kinnucan-Welsch - 63  
Kirby - 296  
Kiseleva - 262  
Kitsantas - 64, 290  
Kızılaslan - 356, 373  
Kjeldsen - 77  
Klafki - 84  
Knabb - 54  
Knaflič - 97  
Knoll - 52, 62  
Knutsson - 237  
Kock - 76  
Kocsis - 353  
Kodžopeljić - 122, 136  
Koehler - 177  
Koellner - 173, 174, 175, 176, 177  
Koenka - 274, 275, 276  
Kokhan - 237  
Kokotsaki - 65  
Kollmuss - 346  
Kolodner - 53  
Kolokoltsev - 237  
Konstantinović-Vilić - 377  
Kooij - 324  
Kopnina - 342  
Korać - 142, 143, 152, 153, 154, 155  
Korolkov - 254  
Korshunova - 259  
Kortenkamp - 361  
Korthagen - 160  
Korur - 57  
Kosanović - 142, 143, 155  
Kostić - 130  
Kostova - 353  
Kostović - 142, 143, 155  
Kovačević - 108, 112, 131  
Kövecses - 108  
Kraft - 160  
Kraig - 318, 320  
Krajcik - 56, 61, 63, 65  
Krajcik - 67  
Kranželić-Tavra - 375  
Kranjčec - 204  
Krasny - 174, 175, 177  
Kraynik - 237  
Krishnakumari - 361  
Kristal - 108, 111  
Krnjaja - 53, 143, 151, 152  
Kromrey - 352  
Kruger - 35, 40  
Krutka - 175, 177, 212  
Kub - 142

- Kubek - 375, 389, 391  
Kubitskey - 174  
Kudinov - 261, 323  
Kuhlemeier - 360, 361, 363  
Kumar - 277  
Kundačina - 362  
Kurland - 120, 121, 128, 129  
Kutu - 356  
Kuzmanović - 143, 286  
Kwan - 57  
Kyndt - 142  
Kyriakopoulos - 361
- L**
- Ladewski - 61  
Lagerweij - 360, 361, 363  
Lagutkina - 236  
Lai - 343  
Lajović - 160  
Lam - 56  
Lammers - 203  
Lang - 382  
Lantz-Andersson - 212  
Larina - 236  
Larouche - 297  
Larrabee - 36  
Lasen - 142  
Laurie - 203  
Lavrič - 200, 202  
Law - 298, 299  
Lawy - 75, 92  
Lay - 174, 176  
Lazarević - 116, 118, 119, 122, 134  
Lebedeva - 338  
Lečić-Toševski - 376  
Lee - 325, 353  
LeeKeenan - 142  
Leeming - 353  
Le Fevre - 63  
Leffert - 397, 399, 407, 410  
Le Hebel - 361  
Lehtonen - 213  
Leontiev - 260, 261, 262  
Levinson - 210  
Lewis - 55  
Li - 119  
Liang J.C. - 38  
Liang S.W. - 343  
Lim - 380  
Lin - 296  
Lindstrand - 38  
Lithoxidou - 344, 345  
Liu - 174, 175, 177  
Lochner - 375, 389  
Lockee - 211, 237  
Lodewijks - 297  
Loeber - 374  
Lonczak - 396  
Lončarić - 286  
Longobardi - 131  
Lopatina - 252  
Lorion - 413  
Losch - 160  
Louws - 174, 176, 177  
Loyens - 56, 57  
Lozanov-Crvenković - 173  
Lu - 260  
Lubovsky - 259, 267  
Lucangeli - 119  
Luloff - 342

Lundin - 212  
Ljung-Djarf - 38

## M

MacGregor - 203  
MacLachlan - 353  
Maddi - 260, 262, 263, 265, 266  
Magajna - 205  
Maguin - 374  
Maguire - 210  
Makki - 362  
Maksić - 106, 110  
Malinić - 63, 64, 386  
Mancl - 352  
Mancosu - 213  
Mann - 380  
Mannes - 397, 398, 409, 411  
Marcer - 143  
Marcinkowski - 353  
Marcinkowskim - 352  
Mardell - 142  
Marentič Požarnik - 200, 202, 205  
Marinellie - 122  
Marin Jerez - 261, 323  
Markova - 320, 325  
Marković - 98  
Martin - 32  
Marton - 295, 298, 311  
Marušić - 153  
Marušić Jablanović - 36, 48, 49, 342,  
343  
Marx - 62  
Maslova - 236  
Maslow - 324  
Mason - 110  
Mates - 325  
Matijević - 53, 57  
Matović - 144  
McBeth - 353  
McCall - 174  
McCloskey - 173  
McGhee-Bidlack - 126, 129  
McGinnis - 168  
McGregor - 134, 290  
McKeachie - 275, 278  
McLaughlin - 63  
Mc Mahon - 197  
McMahon - 141  
McManus - 296  
Meece - 297  
Mee Hee - 353  
Meiboudia - 353  
Meirink - 174  
Memeti - 357, 358, 360  
Menard - 377  
Menyuk - 119  
Menzies - 65  
Meredith - 142  
Mergendoller - 56  
Merrick - 396  
Messer - 37, 40, 43, 46  
Metioui - 35, 37, 40, 43, 47  
Meyer - 53, 84  
Meyers - 353  
Micić - 96  
Mikeseii - 325  
Mikhailova - 261, 321, 323  
Milin - 143, 151  
Milinković - 124  
Milkus - 238

Miller - 75, 76, 176, 352  
Milošević - 102, 113  
Minigan - 66  
Miočinović - 122, 127  
Mioduser - 57  
Mire - 31  
Mirkov - 275, 287, 295, 296, 297, 298,  
299, 300, 309, 311, 312  
Mirzaahmedov - 259  
Miščević - 48  
Mitchell - 48  
Moallem - 56  
Močnik - 76  
Mohd Zaid - 360  
Molle - 63  
Montpied - 361  
Mony - 353  
Moore - 211, 237, 361  
Moretti - 389  
Morgan - 380  
Morrone - 352  
Mortensen - 76  
Moskal - 203  
Moskovljević Popović - 120, 122  
Moust - 56  
Mrše - 390  
Muis - 298, 312  
Mujagić - 275, 286, 288  
Mukaržovski - 96  
Mumford - 398  
Murati-Sherifi - 357  
Muratović - 37  
Murphy - 76, 203  
Murray - 197, 198  
Mutum - 339

Myers - 54

## N

Nagy - 109, 119, 131, 323  
Najaka - 375  
Nastić-Stojanović - 375  
Negev - 343, 353, 360, 361, 363  
Nelson - 387  
Nesbit - 274  
Newman - 134  
Newmann - 343  
Ng - 287, 352  
Nguyen - 339  
Nikolić-Ristanović - 377  
Nippold - 121, 132  
Nissen - 126, 134  
Noonan - 174  
Norton - 342  
Nouri - 211, 212, 236, 237, 243  
Novak - 50, 63  
Ntanos - 361  
Nussbaum - 75

## O

Obadović - 173  
O'Brennan - 387  
O'Brien - 360, 361  
O'Connor - 361  
O'Donnell - 375  
O'Dwyer - 353  
Ogunbode - 361  
O'Keefe - 297  
Olinghouse - 131  
Olson - 121  
Olsson - 38

- Olympia - 387  
 Opačić - 114, 298, 300  
 Oparnica - 275, 286  
 Orion - 343  
 Osborne - 66  
 Oshkina - 237  
 Osin - 261, 262, 264, 265  
 O'sullivan - 237  
 Ovesni - 173, 175, 177
- P**
- Pabon - 377  
 Packer - 142, 343  
 Pahl - 361  
 Pais-Ribeiro - 411  
 Pajares - 289  
 Palmer - 353, 362  
 Panadero - 273, 274, 276, 289  
 Pantic - 353  
 Parakevopoulos - 353  
 Paris - 274  
 Park - 174, 175, 176, 177  
 Parker - 25, 175, 177  
 Paternoster - 374, 375, 380  
 Patrick - 289  
 Pavlin - 76  
 Pavlović J. - 159, 160, 161, 162, 163,  
 297, 299  
 Pavlović V. - 375  
 Pavlović Breneselović - 53, 141, 143, 152  
 Payne - 388  
 Pecore - 56, 62  
 Pe'er - 353  
 Peguero - 377, 380  
 Pejatović - 153  
 Pejović-Milovančević - 376  
 Peng - 274  
 Perels - 274  
 Perry - 274, 297  
 Persico - 260  
 Pešec Zadavec - 76  
 Pešikan - 36, 48, 53, 124  
 Peter - 396, 407  
 Petrovački - 97, 111  
 Petrović - 98, 143  
 Phan - 298, 299, 309  
 Philipsen - 175, 176, 177  
 Phillips - 274  
 Piatelli-Palmarini - 118  
 Piccolo - 342  
 Piirto - 382  
 Pijaže - 36, 127  
 Pine - 37, 40, 43, 46, 55  
 Pintrich - 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 288,  
 289, 295  
 Piquero - 380  
 Pirc - 79  
 Plazinić - 300, 308  
 Plucker - 338  
 Poldrugač - 375, 387  
 Pollard R. - 54  
 Pollard J.A. - 396  
 Pollozhani - 358  
 Polshina - 325  
 Ponmozhi - 361  
 Ponte - 64  
 Pope - 159  
 Popović - 96  
 Popović-Čitić - 375, 406, 407, 411  
 Popović-Deušić - 376

- Postholm - 274  
 Powell - 173, 174, 176, 177  
 Pozo-Munoz - 203  
 Pratt - 119  
 Primack - 342  
 Prince - 213  
 Prtljaga - 52, 53, 54, 58, 60  
 Psacharopoulos - 27  
 Puckett - 30, 31  
 Pugachev - 237  
 Pulkkinen - 273, 274  
 Purdie - 311  
 Putnam - 64  
 Putnick - 131  
 Puustinen - 273, 274
- Q**
- Quintilian - 77, 78, 83, 90
- R**
- Radden - 108  
 Radić - 131  
 Radlović-Čubrilo - 173  
 Radović - 173, 175, 177  
 Radulović - 152, 155, 275  
 Ramli - 360, 361  
 Rasskazova - 260, 261, 262  
 Rasulić - 108  
 Raven - 352  
 Reboloso-Pacheco - 203  
 Redditt - 142  
 Reed - 375  
 Rees - 110  
 Regoli - 377  
 Reilly - 134  
 Reis - 213  
 Reyes-Garcia - 353  
 Rhodes - 297, 299  
 Richardson V. - 63  
 Richardson J.T.E. - 295, 298, 373  
 Rickinson - 343  
 Rieser-Danner - 54  
 Rihn - 296  
 Rikers - 56, 57  
 Ristanović - 58, 60  
 Roberts - 353  
 Robinson - 238  
 Robottom - 361  
 Roccas - 336  
 Rocco - 142  
 Rockcastle - 352  
 Rodriguez - 38, 40, 47  
 Roehlkepartain - 397, 407, 409, 410, 411, 412  
 Roglić - 375  
 Rolston - 342  
 Romanova - 237  
 Romashko - 322  
 Rosandić - 108  
 Rosenfeld - 61  
 Rosenthal - 288  
 Ross - 142  
 Rossi-Arnaud - 131  
 Roth - 352, 354  
 Rothstein - 66  
 Rovira - 353  
 Rud - 375  
 Ruggiero - 353  
 Ruiz-Mallen - 353  
 Rumberger - 380

- Rumble - 237  
 Rusljakova - 262  
 Russ - 174, 175, 177  
 Rutar - 204, 205  
 Rutten - 75, 76  
 Rutter - 361, 388  
 Ryabukhina - 320, 323  
 Ryan - 259, 289, 396  
 Rynsaardt - 160  
 Ryung - 353
- S**
- Sachs - 296, 298, 299  
 Sadovnikova - 259  
 Sagiv - 336  
 Sagy - 343, 353  
 Şahin - 38  
 Saigo - 352  
 Saizmaa - 238  
 Sakashita - 238  
 Salisbury - 110  
 Salzberg - 343, 353  
 Saljo - 295, 298, 311  
 Sanchez Abchi - 131  
 Sander - 203  
 Sans - 76  
 Santana - 66  
 Savanović - 308  
 Savery - 55  
 Savić - 111  
 Scales - 397, 398, 405, 406, 407, 409,  
 410, 411, 413  
 Schahn - 362  
 Schaie - 319  
 Schleicher - 95  
 Schley - 121  
 Schmidt - 56  
 Schmitz - 274  
 Schnase - 259  
 Schoenebeck - 175  
 Schommer - 297, 299, 300  
 Schommer-Aikins - 297, 298, 299  
 Schon - 159  
 Schugurensky - 174  
 Schultz - 336, 340, 341, 347, 361  
 Schulz - 261, 323  
 Schumann - 325, 327  
 Schunk - 274, 290  
 Schwartz - 336, 337, 338, 345  
 Scott - 109, 119, 363  
 Seegers - 297  
 Segedinac - 173  
 Segers - 56  
 Seifert - 297  
 Semenova - 259  
 Senechal - 120  
 Serra-Roldan - 398  
 Sesma - 407  
 Shaha - 175  
 Shek - 396  
 Shevyakova - 254  
 Shiang-Yao - 352  
 Shin-Cheng - 352  
 Shih-Wu - 352, 360, 361  
 Shillingford - 398  
 Shoreman-Ouimet - 342  
 Shores - 387  
 Short - 161  
 Showers - 161, 170  
 Shramko - 407, 410



- Shriberg - 121  
Shwom - 336  
Sicurella - 375  
Silberberg - 375  
Silva - 119, 131, 411  
Simić R. - 96, 104  
Simić N. - 153, 308  
Simmons - 352  
Simoncini - 142  
Sinclair - 389  
Skaalvik - 297  
Skordoulis - 361  
Sladoje Bošnjak - 300  
Smith C. -119  
Smith D. -275, 278  
Smith K. -199  
Smolleck - 43  
Snow - 120, 121, 128, 129  
Soares - 410  
Soćanin - 375  
Soetaert - 75, 76  
Sofroniou - 29  
Sokoloff - 413  
Soldatović - 143  
Somuncuogly - 297  
Sözbilir - 356, 373  
Spataro - 131  
Spiroska - 360  
Srbinovski - 353, 354, 355, 357, 358,  
359, 360, 361, 362, 363  
Srećković-Stanković - 160  
Stables - 352  
Stahl - 109, 119  
Stančić - 111, 275  
Stanisstreet - 38  
Stanišić - 342, 343, 359, 361  
Stanković - 59, 63, 143, 151, 160, 163  
Stanojčić - 96  
Stanojević - 173, 175, 177  
Starkova - 325  
Starostina - 237  
Stein - 36  
Stepanova - 320, 321, 322, 325  
Stern - 339, 340  
Stevanović - 95, 96, 97, 102, 106, 107,  
110, 112, 113, 119, 134  
Stevenson - 203  
Stoeger - 298  
Stojanović - 53  
Stojnov - 63, 160, 163  
Stoll - 141  
Stromso - 310  
Suarez Riveiro - 296  
Suhre - 274  
Sujo de Montes - 174  
Sun - 396  
Sutton - 210  
Sweeten - 374, 375, 380, 389  
Swennen - 197, 200  
Sychev - 261, 262, 264  
Symanyuk - 320, 323  
Syvertsen - 405, 409, 410, 411, 413  
Szechy - 353  
Szerenyi - 353  
Šefer - 58, 63, 64, 66, 119  
Ševa - 59  
Ševkušić - 143  
Šipka - 98  
Štefanc - 84

## T

Taccogna - 398  
 Tager-Flusberg - 119  
 Tal - 343, 353  
 Tamim - 61  
 Taneva - 236  
 Tanner - 343  
 Taraban - 54  
 Taşkın - 37  
 Taylor - 93, 135, 342  
 Tenjović - 106, 110  
 Teodorović - 59  
 Thomas J.W. - 56, 61, 62, 67  
 Thomas S. - 141  
 Tighe - 119, 120  
 Tindall-Biggins - 375  
 To - 119  
 Todd - 361  
 Tolchinsky - 131  
 Tomasello - 131  
 Tomera - 354, 361, 362, 363  
 Tomlinson - 288  
 Tondeur - 175, 176, 177  
 Torenbeek - 274  
 Torphy - 174, 176, 177  
 Tošović - 106  
 Treleaven - 212, 222  
 Tretyakova - 237  
 Trikaliti - 344  
 Trivić - 95  
 Trudel - 35, 37, 40, 43, 47  
 Trust - 211, 212, 237  
 Tsai - 38  
 Tulman - 380  
 Tunmer - 119

Turaga - 361  
 Türkmen - 37  
 Turner - 215  
 Tuul - 238  
 Twombly - 142

## U

Ültay - 37  
 Unruh - 389  
 Uşak - 355, 373  
 Usta - 37  
 Utkina - 259  
 Uyanga - 238  
 Uzelac - 386  
 Uzun - 353

## V

Valenčič Zuljan - 205  
 Valle Arias - 296  
 Van Berkel - 56  
 Van Den Bergh - 360, 361, 363  
 Van den Bossche - 56  
 Van Den Brink - 375  
 Van der Klink - 197  
 Van der Linden - 297  
 Van De Vijver - 338  
 Van Driel - 174  
 Van Dulmen - 407  
 Vangrieken - 142  
 Van Klaveren - 375  
 Van Petegem - 361  
 Van Putten - 297  
 Van Tulder - 161  
 Van Veen - 174  
 Varis - 212

- Varisli - 360  
 Vasić - 97, 122, 124, 129, 130, 133  
 Vath - 174  
 Vavrus - 210  
 Veenman - 161  
 Vegetti - 213  
 Vermunt - 297  
 Vescio - 142  
 Veselinov - 58, 60  
 Veselinović - 390  
 Vesić - 289  
 Vezeau - 297  
 Vigotski - 36, 109  
 Villadsen - 76  
 Vilotijević - 53, 101  
 Vizek-Vidović - 289  
 Vladisavljević - 130  
 Voeten - 161  
 Vogrinc - 205  
 Volk - 343, 353  
 Voss R. - 203  
 Voss H. L. - 375  
 Voyer - 110  
 Vučetić - 286  
 Vujačić - 59, 64, 289  
 Vuković - 122, 135  
 Vušurović - 390
- W**
- Waintrup - 389  
 Walford - 362  
 Wallace - 141  
 Walsh-Daneshmandi - 353  
 Wang B. - 175, 177  
 Wang M.T. - 388  
 Ward - 375  
 Wardani - 360, 361  
 Ward-Lonegran - 132  
 Washington - 342  
 Waterston - 295  
 Watson - 121  
 Wehlage - 343  
 Wehren - 135  
 Wei - 63  
 Wei-Ta - 352  
 Welsh - 388  
 Weltzel - 339  
 Welzel - 338, 339, 345  
 Weston - 342  
 Whalen - 211, 212  
 Whitehouse - 173  
 Wierstra - 297  
 Wierzbicka - 108  
 Wigfield - 259  
 Wiggins - 65  
 Wiliam - 65  
 Willet - 177  
 Williams - 360, 375  
 Willits - 363  
 Willott - 238  
 Wilson - 375  
 Winder - 296  
 Winne - 274  
 Winstead - 210  
 Wolf - 55  
 Wolfgang - 380  
 Wolters - 274, 275, 288  
 Wong - 296  
 Wood - 259  
 Woodhall - 27

Wrosch - 261, 323

Wubbels - 64

## X

Xenitidou - 344

## Y

Yablochnikov - 259

Yap - 339

Yaşar - 356

Yavetz - 353

Yildirim - 297

Yilmaz - 38

Yopp - 119

Yovanoff - 389

Yu - 275, 352

## Z

Zabukovec - 205

Zeer - 320, 323

Zener - 237

Zeng - 352

Zenki - 357

Zhu - 175, 176, 177

Zidar Gale - 79

Zimmerman - 273, 274, 290

Zlatic - 106

Zmeev - 323

Zmeyov - 318

Zobenica - 275, 286

Zsoka - 353

Zubrick - 135

## Ž

Žagar - 76, 79, 80

Žmavc - 76, 78, 79, 80

Žunić-Pavlović - 375

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији  
Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

37.014.3(100)(082)

37.091.33(082)

37.018.43:077]:37.091.12(082)

37.015:159.953.5(082)

316.624(082)

**PROBLEMS and perspectives of contemporary education** / editors Nikoleta Gutvajn, Jelena Stanišić, Vera Radović. - Beograd : Institute for Educational Research : Faculty of Teacher Education ; Moscow : Faculty of Philology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 2021 (Beograd : Kuća štampe plus). - 445 str. : graf. prikazi ; 30 cm. - (Series Pedagogical theory and practice ; 52)

Tiraž 300. - Str. 9-20: Foreword / Nikoleta Gutvajn, Jelena Stanišić, Vera Radović.  
- Authors' biographies: str. 417-426. - Napomene i bibliografske reference uz tekst.  
- Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Registar.

ISBN 978-86-7447-157-9 (IPI;)

1. Gutvajn, Nikoleta, 1974- [приређивач, сакупљач] [аутор додатног текста]  
2. Stanišić, Jelena, 1981- [приређивач, сакупљач] [аутор додатног текста] 3.  
Radović, Vera Ž., 1972- [приређивач, сакупљач] [аутор додатног текста]  
а) Образовна политика -- У свету -- Зборници б) Настава -- Иновације -  
- Зборници в) Информациона технологија -- образовање на даљину -  
- Зборници г) Учење учења -- Зборници д) Дивијантно понашање -- Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 46560777



## FROM REVIEWS

Main aim of the monograph titled *Problems and perspectives of contemporary education*, is to thorough explore, critically analyze and elaborate complex, dynamic, multilayers and reciprocal relationship between significant changes in educational social environment and readiness, of educational system to anticipate, recognize, understand and adequately respond to those challenges. All contributing authors enthusiastically embraced the notion that education presents an important and proactive agent of social changes and consequently accepted all challenges as an opportunity for improvement and development of both society and educational system.

**Professor Emeritus Djuradj Stakic**  
**Pennsylvania State University, USA**

The monograph is dedicated to looking into extremely significant and current concerns within educational policy and educational practice. The selected topic is viewed from the perspectives of contemporary theoretical approaches, but it is also empirically researched. A very large and relevant literature was used both for explaining the selected research subject and discussing the obtained results. A diverse, contemporary methodology was applied in researches, and the authors of works, starting from the existing results, analysed issues at a deeper level and illuminated some aspects that had not been studied thus far.

**Professor Marina Mikhailovna Mishina**  
**Russian State University for the Humanities, Russia**

The main topics covered by the monograph can be classified as traditional to some extent — related to approaches to learning, language culture etc., and modern — connected with the andragogical view, coaching in teacher training, also the problem of distance learning during the covid pandemic, and models for preventing problem behaviors...The main leitmotif that permeates the content of all presented articles is the topic of the development of key skills, attitudes, experience, creativity — by both subjects in the educational process, and it gives semantic integrity to the monograph.... In view of the new social realities, a reasonable emphasis is placed on the continuing education and development of the teachers themselves, dictated by the accelerated pace of social change.

**Professor Teodora Stoytcheva Stoeva**  
**University of Sofia „St. Kliment Ohridsky“, Bulgaria**

ISBN 978-86-7447-157-9

